

Lansing, Michigan

October 2, 2007

A regular meeting of the State Administrative Board was held in the Lake Superior Room, 1st Floor, Michigan Library and Historical Center, on Tuesday, October 2, 2007, at 11:00 a.m.

Present: Kelly Keenan, Chief Legal Counsel, representing Jennifer M. Granholm, Governor, Chairperson
Walt Herzig, Chief of Staff, representing John Cherry, Lt. Governor
Patrick F. Isom, Assistant Attorney General, representing Michael A. Cox, Attorney General
Mary G. MacDowell, Director, Financial Services Bureau, representing Robert J. Kleine, State Treasurer
Joseph Pavona, Director, Bureau of Administrative Services, representing Terri Lynn Land, Secretary of State
Wayne Roe, Jr., Administrator, Contract Services Division, representing Kirk T. Steudle, Director, Department of Transportation
Carol Wolenberg, Deputy Superintendent for Administration, representing Michael P. Flanagan, Superintendent of Public Instruction
Sherry Bond, Secretary

Others Present:

Diana Quintero, Department of Information Technology; Elise Lancaster, Janet Rouse, Department of Management and Budget; Mike Blackledge, Amy Meldrum, Department of Transportation

1. CALL TO ORDER:

Mr. Keenan called the meeting to order and led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

2. READING OF MINUTES OF PRECEDING MEETING AND APPROVAL THEREOF:

NONE

3. HEARING OF CITIZENS ON MATTERS FALLING UNDER JURISDICTION OF THE BOARD:

NONE

4. COMMUNICATIONS:

NONE

5. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

NONE

6. NEW BUSINESS:

Retention and Disposal Schedules:

CITY OF KALAMAZOO, Public Safety-Internal Affairs, 10/2/2007

CITY OF NORTON SHORES, Community Development/Building Division,
10/2/2007

BERRIEN COUNTY, Community Development, 10/2/2007

HURON COUNTY, Health Department-Bad Axe, 10/2/2007

DICKERSON-IRON DISTRICT HEALTH DEPARTMENT, 10/2/2007

SAGINAW TRANSIT AUTHORITY REGIONAL SERVICES, 10/2/2007

TRAVERSE BAY AREA INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT, Human Resources
Office, 10/2/2007

WATERFORD TOWNSHIP, Employees' Retirement System, 10/2/2007

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH,
Bureau of Health Promotion and Disease Control, Division of Health Wellness and
Disease Control, 10/2/2007
Long Term Care Reimbursement and Rate Setting Section, 10/2/2007
Office of Long Term Care Supports and Services, 10/02/2007

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY, Children's Services, Children's Protective
Services, 10/2/2007

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,
Human Resources, 10/2/2007
Domestic Violence Board, 10/2/2007

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS, D. J. Jacobetti Home
for Veterans, 10/2/2007

SECRETARY OF STATE, Driver Assessment and Appeal Division, 10/2/2007

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY, Property Services Division, 10/2/2007

Ms. Wolenberg moved that the Retention and Disposal Schedules be approved and adopted. The motion was supported by Ms. MacDowell and unanimously approved.

7. REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF COMMITTEES:
(Please see the following pages)

APPROVED

October 2, 2007

Michigan State
Administrative Board

COMMITTEE REPORT TO THE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

The Honorable Jennifer M. Granholm, Governor
and
Members of the State Administrative Board

A regular meeting of the **Finance and Claims** Committee was held at
11:00 a.m. on **September 25, 2007** Those present being:

Chairperson: Mary G. MacDowell, representing Approved _____
State Treasurer Kleine

Member: Iris Lopez, representing Approved _____
Attorney General Cox

Member: _____, representing Approved _____
Governor Granholm

Others: Jonathan Henker, Department of Agriculture; Kristi Broessel,
Department of Community Health; Joel Storchan, Department of
Information Technology; Sherry Bond, Craig Orr, Janet Rouse,
Department of Management and Budget; Kris Squibb, Department of
Natural Resources

The Finance and Claims Committee regular and supplemental agendas were
presented.

Following discussion, Ms. Lopez moved that the regular and supplemental
agendas be recommended to the State Administrative Board for approval.
The motion was supported by Ms. MacDowell and unanimously adopted.

Ms. MacDowell adjourned the meeting.

***At the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007, Item 11(1) was
withdrawn by the Department of Management and Budget.***

A G E N D A

FINANCE AND CLAIMS COMMITTEE

September 25, 2007, 11:00 a.m.
Lake Superior Room
1st Floor, Michigan Library
and Historical Center

STATE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

October 2, 2007, 11:00 a.m.
Lake Superior Room
1st Floor, Michigan Library
and Historical Center

.....
This agenda is for general informational purposes only.
At its discretion the Finance and Claims Committee may revise
this agenda and may take up other issues at the meeting.

Approval of items on this agenda authorizing expenditures in the
fiscal year beginning on October 1, 2007 and ending on September 30, 2008 are
contingent upon the enactment of appropriations for the fiscal year beginning on
October 1, 2007 and ending on September 30, 2008.

SECTION I. AGENCY CONTRACTS

Requests approval of the following:

1. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

1) Michigan State University Center for Population And Animal Health Lansing, MI	NOT TO EXCEED \$ 900,000.00 Total FY08-10 10% Federal 80% GF 10% Restricted Grant for Animal Health Diagnostic Testing Services
---	---

2. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

1) Family Planning Association of Allegan Co., Inc. Allegan, MI	\$ 120,448.00 Amendment \$ 369,966.00 New Total FY08 20% Federal 9% State Restricted 71% Local Additional funding for one- year grant agreement to provide funding for family planning services.
2) Nurse Family Partnership Denver, CO	\$ 62,255.00 Total FY08 50% Federal 50% GF One-year grant agreement to provide funding for the Home Visiting Nurses Model in Michigan sites as well a data entry, technical assistance, consultation, evaluation and ongoing access for MI nurses for perinatal/children.

2. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH continued

- 3) Mary Free Bed Hospital and
Rehabilitation Center
Grand Rapids, MI \$ 184,600.00 Total
FY08 100% Federal
One-year grant agreement to
provide funding for the
operation of the Center for
Limb Difference and services
to juvenile amputees.
- 4) Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI \$ 5,557,971.00 Total
FY08 76% Federal 3% State GF
21% Local
One-year grant agreement to
provide funding for various
community health projects.
- 5) Southeastern Michigan Health
Association
Detroit, MI \$ 14,538,087.00 Total
FY08 84.40% Federal
0.80% State GF
13.40% State Restricted
1.40% Fess/Other State Funds
One-year grant agreement to
provide funding for various
community health projects
- 6) Bronson Methodist Hospital
Kalamazoo, MI \$ 385,886.00 Total
FY08 100% State GF
One-year grant agreement to
provide funding for a
comprehensive children's
multidisciplinary specialty
clinic for clients enrolled in
the Children's Special Health
Care Services
- 7) left blank intentionally

2. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH continued

8) left blank intentionally

- 9) Fraser, Trebilcock, Davis and \$ 25,000.00 Amendment
Dunlap - Nan Casey \$ 75,000.00 New Total
Lansing, MI **FY08** 100% State GF
One-year agreement to provide
funding for special assistant
attorney general services for
the Attorney General's Office
with legal expertise in the
Medicaid program.
- 10) Michigan Protection and \$ 776,400.00 Total
Advocacy Service **FY08** 100% State GF
Lansing, MI
One-year grant agreement to
provide funding for protection
and advocacy services for
persons with developmental
disabilities and for persons
with a mental illness.
- 11) Children's Hospital of \$ 1,676,297.00 Total
Michigan **FY08** 20.44% Federal
Detroit, MI 79.41% State GF
0.15% State Restricted
One-year grant agreement to
provide funding for various
community health projects.

2. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH continued

- 12) Michigan Center for Rural Health
East Lansing, MI
- \$ 379,255.00 Amendment
\$ 2,826,397.00 New Total
FY08 5% State GF 2% Local
93% Federal
Additional funding for year
grant agreement for the
administration of the rural
hospital flexibility program
and state office of rural
health program.
- 13) Planned Parenthood of
South Central MI
Kalamazoo, MI
- \$ 390,365.00 Amendment
\$ 1,787,250.00 New Total
FY08 27% Federal
12% State Restricted
61% Local
Additional funding for one-
year grant agreement to
provide funding for family
planning services.
- 14) Muskegon Family Care
Muskegon Heights, MI
- \$ 299,206.00 Amendment
\$ 465,714.00 New Total
FY08 21% Federal
9% State Restricted 70% Local
Additional funding for one
year grant agreement to
provide funding for family
planning services.
- 15) McKenzie Memorial Hospital
Sandusky, MI
- \$ 113,398.00 Amendment
\$ 198,880.00 New Total
FY08 26% Federal
11% State Restricted
63% Local
One-year grant agreement to
provide funding for family
planning services.

2. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH continued

16) Oakland Health Plan \$ 4,300,000.00 Total
Royal Oak, MI **FY08** 69% Federal
31% State GF
One-year grant agreement to
provide funding to administer
childless adult medical
benefits coverage.

3. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

1) Various NOT TO EXCEED
(Listing on File) \$ 486,800.00 Total
FY08-09 100% Restricted Fund
contracts for substance abuse
testing for parolees and in
probationers Genesee, Kalamazoo,
Macomb Muskegon, Oakland, Ottawa
and Wayne counties

2) Various NOT TO EXCEED
(Listing on File) \$ 1,285,000.00 Total
FY08 100% General Fund
One-year contracts to provide
dental services to prisoners
in the Bureau of Health Care
Services, Regions I and II

3) Various NOT TO EXCEED
(Listing on File) \$ 969,500.00 Total
FY08-09 100% Restricted Fund
Two-year contracts to provide
sex offender counseling services
to parolees and probationers in
Wayne County

4) Bay Group Ventures, Inc. \$ 11,600.00 Amendment
Grand Rapids, MI \$ 35,600.00 New Total
FY09 100% Restricted Fund
Additional funds and additional year
substance abuse testing for probation
in Ingham County

3. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS continued

- 5) Bay Group Ventures, Inc. \$ 11,500.00 Amendment
Grand Rapids, MI \$ 31,500.00 New Total
FY08-09 100% Restricted Fund
Additional funds and additional year
substance abuse testing for parolees
probationers in
Kent County
- 6) Phillips, Elizabeth T. DDS NOT TO EXCEED
Lansing, MI \$ 135,000.00 Total
FY08 100% General Fund
Dental services for prisoners
in the Bureau of Health Care
Services, Region II

4. DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

- 1) Travelers Aid Society \$ 135,000.00 Total
Detroit, MI **FY08-10** 100% GF
Three year grant to
arrange and coordinate the
voluntary return of youth
runaway, escapees and
absconders; coordinate all
services with DHS-Bureau
of Juvenile Justice-
Interstate Compact for
Juveniles office (ICJ)
- 2) Northern Family Intervention \$ 5,000.00 Amendment
Gaylord, MI \$ 95,000.00 New Total
FY08 100% Federal
Additional monies to
improve family functioning
in Montmorency and Oscoda
counties
- 3) County of Lapeer NOT TO EXCEED
Lapeer, MI \$ 75,556.80 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant to
provide Parent Aide
services

4. DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES continued

- | | |
|--|--|
| 4) Catholic Social Services
of Oakland
Pontiac, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 101,618.64 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant to teach
parent education skills |
| 5) Community Counseling and
Mentoring
Port Huron, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 189,000.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
Parenting Education -
Group and In-home sessions |
| 6) Various
See list | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 283,641.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
Parent Aide services |
| 7) Watson Health Care
Ypsilanti, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 265,500.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
Parent Aide services |
| 8) Family Care Network
Detroit, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 265,500.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
Parent Aide services |
| 9) Community Counseling and
Mentoring
Port Huron, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 75,000.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
Parent Aide Maintenance |
| 10) Pokagon Band of Potawatomi
Indians
Dowagiac, MI | \$ 38,624.00 Total
FY08 100% Federal
Three year grant for
direct assistance to help
stabilize low income
households |

4. DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES continued

11) Michigan Indian Legal Services Traverse City, MI	\$ 38,624.00 Total FY08 100% Federal Three year grant for legal services to help stabilize low income households
12) American Indian Health & Family Services Dearborn, MI	\$ 38,624.00 Total FY08 100% Federal Three year grant for out- patient medical care and mental health counseling
13) Northern Lakes Community Mental Health Traverse City, MI	NOT TO EXCEED \$ 360,000.00 Total FY08-10 100% Federal Three year grant to provide services to families whose children are at imminent risk of removal
14) Various (Listing on file)	NOT TO EXCEED \$ 600,000.00 Total FY08-10 100% Federal Three year grant for the Title V Juvenile Delinquency Prevention Initiative
15) Oakland Livingston Human Services Pontiac, MI	NOT TO EXCEED \$ 330,000.00 Total FY08-10 100% Federal Three year grant for emergency services
16) Various (Listing on file)	\$ 529,601.67 Amendment \$ 9,829,514.07 New Total FY08 100% Federal Additional monies to aid in an early return to permanent family of children in out-of-home foster care placement

4. DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES continued

- | | |
|--|---|
| 17) Vernon C. Andrews
Gladstone, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 25,080.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
testing and evaluation of
youth for special
education services |
| 18) Branch County Chamber
of Commerce
Coldwater, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 28,087.50 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
coordination of all
activities and services
related to Strong
Family/Safe Children
(SFSC) funding |
| 19) Branch/Hillsdale/St. Joseph
Community Health Dept.
Coldwater, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 69,000.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for Teen
Pregnancy Program |
| 20) Hillsdale County Senior
Services Center
Hillsdale, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 45,000.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
kinship care services |
| 21) Love, INC
Manistee, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 105,000.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for Group
Parent Education and
Support Services |
| 22) Charlene Moore
Armada, MI | NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 41,055.00 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
coordination services |

4. DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES continued

- 23) Orchards Children's Services NOT TO EXCEED
Southfield MI \$ 587,576.70 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant for
assessments to determine
guardianship petitions
- 24) Highfields \$ 216,000.00 Total
Onondaga, MI **FY08-10** 100% Federal
Three year grant to avoid
removal of children from
home
- 25) Catholic Social Services NOT TO EXCEED
Muskegon, MI \$ 179,946.81 Total
FY08-10 100% Federal
Three year grant to assist
families to improve family
functioning
- 26) Douglas Lewis NOT TO EXCEED
Midland, MI \$ 59,400.00 Total
FY08-10 62% Federal
38% Private
Three year grant for Youth
in Transition Coordination
services
- 27) Child & Family Services NOT TO EXCEED
Lansing, MI \$ 179,941.05 Total
FY08-10 80% Federal/20%
GFGP
Three year grant for
Transitional Supervised
Independent Living Housing
Support

4. DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES continued

- 28) Various \$ 98,000.00 Amendment
(Listing on file) \$ 418,000.00 New Total
FY08 100% Federal
Additional monies for 3-
month extension of Safe
Havens Supervised
Visitation and Safe
Exchange services
- 29) Cass County CASA \$ 33,552.00 Total
Cassopolis, MI **FY08-10** 100% Federal
Three year grant to
provide trained volunteers
to act as advocates for
children involved in DHS
family court cases

5. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

- 1) Statewide Workforce \$ 23,212,436.00 Total
Development Boards **FY08** 100% Federal Funds
(Listing on file) Grantee's will be provided
funding for the Trade
Adjustment Assistance Program.
This federal program requires
that each state provide
adjustment assistance for
individuals adversely affected
by foreign competition
- 2) Berrien County Board of \$ 300,000.00 Total
Commissioners, Office of **FY08** 100% Federal Funds
Michigan Works! Berrien/
Cass/VanBuren The Grantee will be provided
Benton Harbor, MI funding for the Get Skills,
Education and Training
(GetSET) program. GetSET is a
dual-track training program
featuring separate hospitality
and skilled-trades
(construction)program
components

5. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND ECONOMIC GROWTH continued

- 3) Human Resource Development \$ 172,000.00 Total
 Incorporated **FY08** 100% Federal Funds
 Lansing, MI The Grantee will receive
 funding for the Latino Worker
 Assistance Program (LWAP).
 This program directly provides
 Latino workers with general
 information on workers'
 rights, discrimination,
 harassment, workplace safety,
 civil rights and equal
 opportunity
- 4) Michigan State University \$ 64,066.00 Total
 East Lansing, MI **FY08** 100% Federal Funds
 The Grantee will be provided
 funding to update and maintain
 the Know-IT program. Know-IT
 is an interactive, on-line
 learning and information
 management web site and
 resource area including easy
 access to rehabilitation
 specific information, state
 resources and federal
 initiatives
- 5) Michigan State University \$ 47,820.00 Total
 East Lansing, MI **FY08** 100% Federal Funds
 The Grantee will receive
 funding to maintain and
 enhance the On-Line Learning
 Center (OLC) which increases
 access to rehabilitation
 education materials, new
 course offerings, legislative
 materials and other relevant
 information for MRS staff, the
 disability community and
 employer community

6. DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1) | Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI | \$ 1,694,490.00 Amendment
\$ 3,011,287.00 New Total
FY08-11 100% Various
Amendments to fisheries
research contracts for the
continued protection and
enhancement of the resource |
| 2) | The Regents of the
University of Michigan
Research Contracts
Ann Arbor, MI | \$ 348,249.00 Amendment
\$ 1,093,299.00 New Total
FY08-10 100% Various
Amendments to fisheries
research contracts for the
continued protection and
enhancement of the resource |

7. DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1) | Multiple Michigan local
and county police
agencies
(Listing on file) | \$ 994,192.40 Total
FY08 100% Federal Funds
To provide funding for the
Electronic Crash Capture and
Submission project including
software, hardware and
infrastructure support |
|----|---|---|

SECTION II. DMB CONTRACTS

Requests approval of the following:

NEW CONTRACTS

8. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---|
| 1) | Stafford-Smith Inc.
Mason, MI | \$ 1,300,000.00 (3 years)
FY08-10 100% General Funds
071I7200289 Cambro
Food Service Products |
|----|----------------------------------|---|

9. DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

1) left blank intentionally

2) left blank intentionally

3) Various
(Listing on file)

NOT TO EXCEED
\$ 3,221,399.50 (1 year)
FY08 100% Various
Critical Continuity Software
and Hardware Maintenance
Support

Various RE:START Vendors

**Short-term Staff Augmentation
for information technology for
various departments**

4) Compuware
Okemos, MI

\$ 160,160.00 (1 year)
FY08 100% Restricted
071I7200300 To assist the
Department of Information
Technology and Department of
Labor and Economic Growth/
Bureau of Commercial Services
to assist with day-to-day
issues with the current Bureau
of Commercial Services,
Corporations Division Oracle
system

9. DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY continued

5) left blank intentionally

- | | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 6) Unified Business
Troy, MI | \$ 166,400.00 (1 year)
FY08 100% Federal Funds
071I7200297 For a report
engineer to assist the
Department of Information
Technology, and the Department
of Education's Grants
Coordination and School
Support to develop the tabular
and graphical report
deliverables of the Michigan
Profile of Healthy Youth
(MiPHY project) |
| 7) Unified Business
Troy, MI | \$ 197,600.00 (1 year)
FY08 100% Federal Funds
071I7200298 To obtain
quotations to fill the role of
the project manager to assist
the Department of Information
Technology, and the Department
of Education's Grants
Coordination and School
Support in the Leadership and
execution of the Michigan
Profile of Healthy Youth
(MiPHY) project |

9. DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY continued

8) left blank intentionally

10. DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

1) Dent Enterprises, Inc.	NOT TO EXCEED
DeWitt, MI	\$ 91,005.00 (3 years)
	071I7200214
	FY08-10 100% General Funds
	Snow Plowing/Removal
	Services-State-wide

11. DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

1) Moore-Clark U.S.A.	\$ 1,186,016.00 (3 years)
Longview, WA	FY08-10 100% Restricted
	7526200841/071I6200291
	Phosphorus Fish Food
EWOS Canada	\$ 1,389,945.60 (3 years)
Surrey, B.C. Canada	FY08-10 100% Restricted
	6200841/071I6200291
	Phosphorus Fish Food

CONTRACT CHANGES

12. DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

1)	Whatman, Inc.	\$	100,000.00	Amendment
	Florham Park, NJ	\$	213,714.00	New Total
		FY08	100% Restricted	
		071B6200157	Modification	
			Requested for Blood Collection	
			Devices	

13. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS

1)	Prairie Farms Dairy	\$	1,041,149.70	Amendment
	Battle Creek, MI	\$	1,978,082.75	New Total
		FY08	100% General Funds	
		071B6200328	Milk and Dairy	
			Products	

14. DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

1) left blank intentionally

2) left blank intentionally

14. DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY continued

Various RESTART Vendors

Amendment(s) to existing contract(s) for Short-term Staff Augmentation for information technology for various departments

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|--|
| 3) | Data-Core
Clinton Twp, MI | \$ 114,400.00 Amendment
\$ 228,800.00 New Total
FY08 50% Federal 50% GF
071B7200436 To provide temporary professional services to assist the Department of Information Technology and the Department of Human Services. These services will assist in the continuing development of the Services Worker Support System (SWSS) application. |
| 4) | Data-Core
Clinton Twp, MI | \$ 76,680.00 Amendment
\$ 356,680.00 New Total
071B6200430
FY08 100% Restricted
To provide temporary professional services to assist the Michigan Department of Information Technology and Michigan Department of Transportation's Bridge Operations Unit (BOU) in the development of the Fabrication Inspection and Construction System (FICS) |

14. DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY continued

- 5) IT Intellectuals \$ 110,000.00 Amendment
Farmington Hills, MI \$ 224,400.00 New Total
FY08 63% Federal 37% GF
071B6200421 To provide a
programming analyst for the
State of Michigan Department
of Information
Technology/Department of Human
Services and Child support
Enforcement System, DHS's Data
Warehouse Team has a need to
augment their existing staff
to help support the workload
of new and ongoing projects.
- 6) Ramsoft \$ 114,400.00 Amendment
Southfield, MI \$ 228,800.00 New Total
FY08 63% Federal 37% GF
071B6200432 To provide
temporary professional
services to assist the
Department of Information
Technology and the Department
of Human Services in the
continuing development of the
Adult Services Comprehensive
Assessment Program (ASCAP)
- 7) TekSystems \$ 218,240.00 Amendment
Grand Rapids, MI \$ 447,040.00 New Total
FY08 63% Federal 37% GF
071B6200418 To provide
temporary services to assist
the Department of Information
Technology, and the Department
of Human Services in the
programming of their COBOL
applications that support the
DHS Model Payments Systems
(MPS)

SECTION III. RELEASE OF FUNDS TO WORK ORDER

SECTION IV. REVISION TO WORK ORDER

SECTION V. CLAIMS - PERSONAL PROPERTY LOSS

15. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

- 1) Brian Baker \$241.60

The claimant (07-SAB-117) requests \$241.60 reimbursement for a rental car while his car was being repaired after using his vehicle for work and was involved in an accident. The Department recommends approval of this claim.

16. DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

- 1) Bonnie Harper \$787.80

The claimant (07-SAB-082) requests \$787.80 reimbursement for damage to her vehicle when a tree fell on it while parked in a lot while performing her duties. The Department recommends approval of this claim for \$625.74, the smallest estimate.

- 2) Francis Kolson \$540.00

The claimant (07-SAB-098) requests \$540.00 reimbursement for his personal eyeglasses lost when they fell in the river while performing his duties. The Department recommends approval of this claim for his out of pocket expense.

17. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

- 1) Dennis Decman \$100.00

The claimant (07-SAB-116) requests \$100.00 reimbursement for damage to his vehicle when debris from a Department of Transportation truck hit his windshield. The Department recommends approval of this claim.

- 2) Progressive Insurance Co. \$999.99
for subrogee James Jenson

The claimant (07-SAB-037) requests \$999.99 reimbursement for damage done to Mr. Jenson's vehicle (Insured) when a construction sign hit it. The Department recommends approval of this claim.

SECTION VI. CLAIMS - PERSONAL INJURY LOSS

SECTION VII. APPROVAL OF SPECIAL ITEMS

18. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Requests permission to dispose of unclaimed and abandoned prisoner property without intrinsic value in accordance with PD-BCF-53.01, Section IV, Abandoned and Unclaimed Property from the following facilities:

Marquette Correctional Facility-9/12/2007

19. DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

The State Administrative Board Secretary's Report of Unresolved Claims over 90 Days Old, dated September 5, 2007.

The Director of the Department of Management and Budget recommends approval by the State Administrative Board of the items contained in Section I and II of this agenda. Approval by the State Administrative Board of these award recommendations does not require or constitute the award of same. Award of contracts shall be made at the discretion of the DMB Director or designee.

- - - - -

Ms. MacDowell presented the Finance and Claims Committee Report for the regular meeting of September 25, 2007. After review of the forgoing Finance and Claims Committee Report, Ms. MacDowell moved that the Agenda from the Finance and Claims Committee Report covering the regular meeting held September 25, 2007, be approved and adopted with the withdrawal of Item 11 (1) at the State Administrative Board meeting on October 2, 2007. The motion was supported by Mr. Pavona and unanimously approved.

APPROVED

October 2, 2007

Michigan State
Administrative Board

COMMITTEE REPORT TO THE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

The Honorable Jennifer M. Granholm, Governor
and
Members of the State Administrative Board

A regular meeting of the Transportation and Natural Resources
Committee was held at 3:30 p.m. on September 26, 2007. Those present
being:

Chairperson: Joseph Pavona, representing Approved _____
Secretary of State Land

Member: Brandon Hofmeister, representing Approved _____
Lt. Governor Cherry

Member: James Shell, representing Approved _____
Attorney General Cox

Others: Sherry Bond, Janet Rouse, Department of Management and Budget; Tom
Hoane, Cynthia Watson, Department of Natural Resources; Mike
Blackledge, Keith Brown, Connie Hanrahan, Amy Meldrum, Lauri
Olsen, Pat Scarlett, Betsy Steudle, Department of Transportation

The Department of Natural Resources agenda was presented.

Following discussion, Mr. Shell moved that the Natural Resources agenda
be recommended to the State Administrative Board for approval.
Supported by Mr. Hofmeister, the motion was unanimously adopted.

There was no Department of Environmental Quality agenda presented.

The Department of Transportation agenda was presented.

Following discussion, Mr. Shell moved that the Transportation agenda be
recommended to the State Administrative Board for approval with Items
1, 24 through 29, 40 through 59, 61, 62, 66, 68 through 71, and 73
through 75 of the regular agenda contingent upon approval by the State
Transportation Commission on September 27, 2007, and Item 73 of the
regular agenda contingent upon additional information. Supported by Mr.
Hofmeister, the motion was unanimously adopted.

Mr. Pavona adjourned the meeting.

A G E N D A

NATURAL RESOURCES ITEMS FOR

TRANSPORTATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE - STATE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

Transportation and Natural Resources - September 26, 2007- 3:30 P.M.
State Administrative Board Meeting - October 2, 2007 - 11:00 A.M.

.....

This agenda is for general informational purposes only. At its discretion, the Transportation and Natural Resources Committee may revise this agenda and may take up other issues at the meeting.

MINERAL LEASES

1. **DIRECT OIL AND GAS LEASE - NONDEVELOPMENT:** Samson Resources Company, of Tulsa Oklahoma, Department of Natural Resources minerals, 2.57 acres, more or less, Section 25, T30N, R07E, Wilson Township, Alpena County.

Terms: One-year term, no extensions, 3/16 royalty, \$120.00 bonus consideration (\$40.00 per acre,)and \$2.00 per acre rental.

2. **DIRECT OIL AND GAS LEASE - NONDEVELOPMENT:** Apollo Exploration and Development, Inc., of Mt. Pleasant, Michigan, Department of Transportation state-owned mineral interest, 4.28 acres, more or less, Section 18, T14N, R05W, Deerfield Township, Isabella County.

Terms: Three-year term, two one-year extension options, 3/16 royalty, \$65.00 bonus consideration (\$13.00 per acre) and \$2.00 per acre annual rental.

3. **DIRECT OIL AND GAS LEASES - NONDEVELOPMENT:** Dart Oil and Gas Corporation, of Mason, Michigan, Department of Transportation state-owned minerals, 0.82 acre, more or less, Sections 23, 25, and 26, T19N, R11W, Ellsworth Township, Lake County.

Terms: Two-year term, one one-year extension option, 3/16 royalty, \$300.00 bonus consideration (\$100.00 per lease) and \$2.00 per acre annual rental.

4. **DIRECT OIL AND GAS LEASES - NONDEVELOPMENT:** Jordan Development Company, L.L.C., of Traverse City, Michigan, Department of Transportation state-owned minerals, 87.44 acres, more or less, Sections 23, 26, 34, and 35, T30N, R09W, Milton Township, Antrim County.

Terms: One-year term, one one-year extension option, 3/16 royalty, \$9,200.00 bonus consideration (\$100.00 per acre) and \$2.00 per acre annual rental.

5. **DIRECT UNDERGROUND GAS STORAGE LEASE - DEVELOPMENT AND NONDEVELOPMENT - AMENDED:** CMS Energy Gas Transmission, of Jackson, Michigan, Department of Natural Resources surface and mineral rights, an additional 40.00 acres of underground gas storage lease rights to previously requested 680-acre application, new total 720.00 acres, more or less, Sections 25, 26, 35 and 36, T27N, R08W, Kalkaska Township, Kalkaska County.

Terms: Seven-year primary term, and so long as natural gas is stored and facilities are maintained. Lease Bonus of \$50.00 per acre (\$36,000.00). Annual rental of \$5.00 per acre, adjusted on each seven-year anniversary date, to be determined by the percent change in the Detroit Consumers Price Index for the previous seven-year period.

The Chief of Forest, Mineral and Fire Management, Department of Natural Resources, approved Items 1 through 4 on September 14, 2007, and Item 5 on September 13, 2007. The form of legal documents involved in this transaction has previously been approved by the Attorney General.

I recommend approval.

Respectfully submitted:
Department of Natural Resources

By: _____
Thomas Wellman, Manager
Mineral and Land Management Section
Forest, Mineral and Fire Management

AGENDA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

TRANSPORTATION and NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

T&NR Meeting: September 26, 2007– Lake Superior Room,
1st Floor, Michigan Library and Historical Center, 3:30 PM
State Administrative Board Meeting: October 2, 2007 – Lake Superior Room,
1st Floor, Michigan Library and Historical Center, 11:00 AM

This agenda is for general informational purposes only. At its discretion, the Transportation and Natural Resources Committee may revise this agenda and may take up other issues at the meeting. Approval of items on this agenda authorizing expenditures in the fiscal year beginning on October 1, 2007, and ending on September 30, 2008, are contingent upon the enactment of appropriations for the fiscal year beginning on October 1, 2007 and ending on September 30, 2008.

CONTRACTS

1. HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) – Resolution “A” (Excess Property Exchange)
Tract 1003, Control Section 50014, Parcel 712D, Part A, Parcel 712DA, Part A, Parcel 712F, Part A
Tract 1004, Control Section 50014, Parcel 713, Part A, Parcel 714, Part A

The subject tracts are located in the city of Sterling Heights, Macomb County, Michigan. Tract 1003 contains approximately 1.21 acres and Tract 1004 contains approximately 1.58 acres. The tracts were appraised by Robert W. Scherer, Colliers International, an independent fee appraiser, on August 26, 2006, at \$500,000 for Tract 1003 and \$650,000 for Tract 1004. The appraisals were reviewed by Danny Sorrells, Metro Region Property Analyst, on September 15, 2006, at the amounts of \$500,000 for Tract 1003 and \$650,000 for Tract 1004. The appraisals were approved by Paul Sander, Metro Region Appraisal Manager, on September 19, 2006, in the amounts of \$500,000 for Tract 1003 and \$650,000 for Tract 1004. The property to be acquired by the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) is owned by Eltel Associates, LLC. It is located in the township of Pontiac, Oakland County, Michigan, and contains approximately 6.42 acres. The property was appraised by Michael Rende, Wieme, Rende & Associates, an independent fee appraiser, on January 9, 2007, at \$925,000 and reviewed by Paul Sander, Metro Region Appraisal Manager, on January 11, 2007, at the amount of \$925,000. MDOT is exchanging the subject tracts for property that will be used to construct a new Oakland County Transportation Service Center (TSC) office. The property to be sold by MDOT is appraised at \$1,150,000. The property to be acquired by MDOT is appraised at \$925,000. The difference of \$225,000 will be paid to MDOT at closing. The transaction was approved for exchange by Matthew DeLong, Administrator, Real Estate Division, on June 11, 2007. The tracts were not offered to the local municipalities because they are part of an exchange. The tracts were determined to be excess by the Bureau of Highways - Development.

Criticality: This exchange transaction will allow MDOT to acquire property needed to construct a new TSC and will generate revenue for the state.

Purpose/Business Case: The purpose of this exchange agreement is to acquire property for the construction of a new TSC and to sell excess property that is no longer needed for transportation purposes.

Benefit: MDOT benefits by acquiring the land for the new TSC and by generating revenue for the state.

Funding Source: N/A.

Commitment Level: MDOT is committed to an exchange of property.

Risk Assessment: N/A.

Cost Reduction: N/A.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: N/A.

Zip Code: 48304.

2. *AERONAUTICS AND FREIGHT (Aeronautics) - Increase Amount

Amendatory Contract (2005-0297/A1) between MDOT and the MBS International Airport Commission will increase the contract amount by \$13,750 due to higher than anticipated costs associated with the rehabilitation of the terminal sewer system and the airport pavement marking. The original contract provides for the rehabilitation of the terminal sewer system, for airport pavement marking, and for the purchase of a deicing vehicle (spreader) at the MBS International Airport in Saginaw, Michigan. The contract term remains unchanged, June 24, 2005, through June 23, 2025. The revised contract amount will be \$645,750. Source of Funds:

	<u>Previous Total</u>	<u>Total Increase</u>	<u>Revised Total</u>
Federal Aviation Administration Funds (FAA)	\$600,400	\$13,062	\$613,462
State Bond Funds	\$ 15,800	\$ 344	\$ 16,144
MBS International Airport Commission Funds	<u>\$ 15,800</u>	<u>\$ 344</u>	<u>\$ 16,144</u>
Total	<u>\$632,000</u>	<u>\$13,750</u>	<u>\$645,750</u>

Criticality: This project has been completed and is in the closeout phase. This project cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board agenda because the federal grant requires closeout and a delay would disrupt the closeout schedule.

Purpose/Business Case: To increase the contract amount by \$13,750 to cover higher than anticipated costs associated with the rehabilitation of the terminal sewer system and the airport pavement marking.

Benefit: Will provide the additional funding needed to close the project.

Funding Source: 95% FAA Funds; 2.5% State Bond Funds; 2.5% MBS International Airport Commission Funds.

Commitment Level: The contract is for a fixed cost.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving the amendment is loss of federal funds for this project. A delay in the project could prompt a citation by the federal compliance inspector and jeopardize the receipt of future grants for this airport.

Cost Reduction: All construction is procured through federal procurement guidelines and awarded to the lowest bidder. Consultant contracts were reviewed by MDOT personnel for appropriateness and further cost reductions.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is an amendment to an existing project.

Zip Code: 48623.

3. *AERONAUTICS AND FREIGHT (Aeronautics) - Increase Services and Amount
 Amendatory Contract (2007-0412/A1) between MDOT and the County of Oakland will add the design services for the relocation of the runway visual range, the demolition of the middle marker, and the installation of precision approach path indicators on runway 27R to the project and will increase the contract amount by \$16,550. The original contract provides for the conduct of an obstruction survey and for the design for the relocation of a glideslope, a medium intensity approach lighting system, and a precision approach path indicator at the Oakland County International Airport in Pontiac, Michigan. The contract term remains unchanged, March 14, 2007, through March 13, 2027. The revised contract amount will be \$119,550. Source of Funds:

	<u>Previous Total</u>	<u>Total Increase</u>	<u>Revised Total</u>
Federal Aviation Administration Funds (FAA)	\$ 82,400	\$13,240	\$ 95,640
State Bond Funds	\$ 18,025	\$ 2,896	\$ 20,921
Oakland County Funds	\$ 2,575	\$ 414	\$ 2,989
Total	<u>\$103,000</u>	<u>\$16,550</u>	<u>\$119,550</u>

Criticality: This additional design work is needed as another piece of a broader runway extension project in order to meet FAA requirements. The navigational equipment must be moved to allow the extension of the runway. If this contract is not awarded in a timely manner, it will push the schedule for the runway extension further into the future. The runway extension is necessary to allow aircraft to take off with heavier loads, including more fuel and cargo.

Purpose/Business Case: To increase the contract amount by \$16,550 to add the design services for the relocation of the runway visual range, the demolition of the middle marker, and the installation of precision approach path indicators on runway 27R.

Benefit: The completed runway extension project will enhance airport safety.

Funding Source: 80% FAA Funds; 17.5% State Bond Funds; 2.5% Oakland County Funds.

Commitment Level: The contract is for a fixed cost.

Risk Assessment: If the amendment is not approved, federal funds may be lost for the additional work items at this airport.

Cost Reduction: The consultant contract was reviewed by MDOT personnel for appropriateness and further cost reductions.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is an amendment to an existing project.

Zip Code: 48327.

4. AERONAUTICS AND FREIGHT (Aeronautics) – Purchase of Wetland Mitigation Bank Credits

Contract (2007-0834) between MDOT and the City of Hillsdale will provide federal and state grant funds for the purchase of wetland mitigation bank credits and for a Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) permit application for wetland mitigation at the Hillsdale Municipal Airport in Hillsdale, Michigan. This is a sub-grant issued pursuant to the conditions of the block grant given to MDOT by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The contract will be in effect from the date of award through twenty years to comply with an FAA regulation that requires airports receiving federal funding for certain types of projects to remain fully operational for a period of twenty years. The airport sponsor will have from the date of award through three years to complete the project. The estimated project amount will be \$378,100. Source of Funds: FAA Funds (via block grant) - \$302,480; State Bond Funds - \$66,167; City of Hillsdale Funds - \$9,453.

Criticality: The wetland mitigation bank credits are necessary to satisfy MDEQ permitting requirements. The project cannot proceed until a permit is obtained from MDEQ. Therefore, this project cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board agenda.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for the purchase of wetland mitigation bank credits and for an MDEQ permit application for wetland mitigation.

Benefit: Will provide 80% federal reimbursement to the state for funds expended under a previous contract for the purchase of wetland mitigation bank credits.

Funding Source: 80% FAA Funds; 17.5% State Bond Funds; 2.5% City of Hillsdale Funds.

Commitment Level: The contract is for a fixed cost.

Risk Assessment: If the contract is not approved, the project may not proceed as planned, as the local sponsor cannot afford the cost without federal and state participation.

Cost Reduction: The consultant contract was reviewed by MDOT personnel appropriateness and further cost reductions.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is a new project.

Zip Code: 49242.

5. ***AERONAUTICS AND FREIGHT (Aeronautics) - Terminal Area Study**

Contract (2007-0835) between MDOT, St. James Township, and the Township of Peaine will provide federal and state grant funds for the performance of a terminal area study at the Beaver Island Airport on Beaver Island, Michigan. This is a sub-grant issued pursuant to the conditions of the block grant given to MDOT by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The contract will be in effect from the date of award through twenty years to comply with an FAA regulation that requires airports receiving federal funding for certain types of projects to remain fully operational for a period of twenty years. The airport sponsor will have from the date of award through three years to complete the project. The estimated project amount will be \$41,864. Source of Funds: FAA Funds (via block grant) - \$33,491; State Bond Funds - \$7,326; St. James Township and Township of Peaine Funds - \$1,047.

Criticality: The terminal area study is the first phase of a multi-phase project for the construction of a new terminal building. This project will preserve and promote basic air service for an island community that is reliant upon tourism. The design work must proceed now in order to meet the 2008 bid schedule for new terminal development.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for the performance of a terminal area study.

Benefit: Will maintain public access to air service on Beaver Island.

Funding Source: 80% FAA Funds; 17.5% State Bond Funds; 2.5% St. James Township and Township of Peaine Funds.

Commitment Level: The contract is for a fixed cost.

Risk Assessment: If the contract is not approved, the project may not proceed as planned, as the local sponsor cannot afford the cost without federal and state participation.

Cost Reduction: The consultant contract was reviewed by MDOT personnel for appropriateness and further cost reductions.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is a new project.

Zip Code: 49782.

6. HIGHWAYS - IDS Time Extension

Amendatory Contract (2002-0686/A2) between MDOT and HH Engineering, Ltd., will extend the term of the indefinite delivery of services (IDS) contract by approximately 27 months (12 days retroactive) to provide sufficient time for the consultant to complete ongoing projects, including work under authorization (Z14), for which extra time is needed for the completion of design work. (See following item.) The original contract, which expired on September 20, 2007, provided for design engineering services to be performed on an as needed/when needed basis. No new authorizations will be issued under this contract. The revised contract term will be September 20, 2002, through December 31, 2009. The maximum contract amount remains unchanged at \$3,000,000. Source of Funds: Federal Highway Administration Funds, State Restricted Trunkline Funds, or local funds, depending on the particular project authorized.

Criticality: The IDS contract needs to be extended so that authorization (Z14) can be extended. Authorization (Z14) provided for the design for the rehabilitation of 21 bridges in the Grand Region (CSs various -JNs various). If the bridges are not replaced, they will continue to deteriorate, safety risks will continue to increase, and additional maintenance funds could be required to make repairs. As a result, this amendment cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board (SAB) agenda.

Purpose/Business Case: To extend the term of the IDS contract by approximately 27 months. The additional time is needed because projects were delayed during funding reallocation. The time extension will allow engineering services for various ongoing projects authorized under this IDS contract to be considered for time extensions when conditions warrant, pending SAB approval. Authorizations in need of time extensions will be individually submitted to the SAB for approval. No new authorizations will be issued under this IDS contract.

Benefit: The benefit of this time extension is that authorizations written under this IDS contract can be extended, pending SAB approval.

Funding Source: Federal Highway Administration Funds, State Restricted Trunkline Funds, or local funds, depending on the particular project authorized.

Commitment Level: The hourly costs are fixed; however, the number of hours to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving this time extension is that the consultant will not be able to complete work on any projects authorized under this IDS contract that may be in need of additional time.

Cost Reduction: Costs in professional services contracts are based on an actual cost plus fixed fee basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Hours are negotiated based on needed service.

Selection: N/A for amendment and for original IDS contract.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 48909.

7. HIGHWAYS - IDS Engineering Services

Authorization Revision (Z14/R3) under Contract (2002-0686) between HH Engineering, Ltd., will extend the authorization term by approximately 27 months (12 days retroactive) to provide sufficient time for the consultant to complete the design services. The additional time is needed because the projects were delayed during funding reallocation. The original authorization, which expired on September 20, 2007, provided for the design for the rehabilitation of 21 bridges in the Grand Region (CSs various -JNs various). The revised authorization term will be September 9, 2004, through December 31, 2009. The revised authorization amount remains unchanged at \$356,500. The revised contract term is September 20, 2002, through September 19, 2009. Source of Funds: 100% State Restricted Trunkline Funds.

Criticality: Poor elements on these structures could result in unsafe conditions. If the rehabilitation work is not performed now, falling concrete falling could injure travelers beneath the bridges and lead to closure of the structures. As a result, this revision cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board agenda.

Purpose/Business Case: To extend the authorization term by approximately 27 months to provide sufficient time for the consultant to complete the design services. This project is for the rehabilitation of 21 bridges in the Grand Region that are in poor condition. The intent of this project is to preserve the lives of the structures.

Benefit: This project will enhance the safety of travelers on and beneath these structures.

Funding Source: 100% State Restricted Trunkline Funds.

Commitment Level: The hourly costs are fixed; however, the number of hours to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If this extension is not approved and the services are not completed, the consultant will not be able to complete the project work. If the rehabilitation work is not performed now, falling concrete falling could injure travelers beneath the bridges and lead to closure of the structures.

Cost Reduction: Cost in professional services contracts are based on an actual cost plus fixed fee basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Hours are negotiated based on needed service.

Selection: N/A for revision; qualifications-based for original authorization.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 49504.

8. HIGHWAYS - IDS Engineering Services

Authorization (Z4) under Contract (2006-0165) between MDOT and Materials Testing Consultants, Inc., will provide for as-needed geotechnical investigation services to be performed for various projects in the Southwest Region. The work items include soil borings, geotechnical investigation, soil classification, gradation analysis, permeability testing, and preparation of test reports. The authorization will be in effect from the date of award through February 23, 2009. The authorization amount will be \$236,080.61. The contract term is February 24, 2006, through February 23, 2009. Source of Funds: Federal Highway Administration Funds, State Restricted Trunkline Funds, or local funds, depending on the particular project authorized.

Criticality: Geotechnical information provides design input for the design of roadways and pavements. It is essential that geotechnical service contracts are in place to ensure that data can be collected in a timely manner to facilitate design schedules. As a result, this authorization cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board agenda.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for as-needed geotechnical investigation services to be performed for various projects in the Southwest Region.

Benefit: Will provide for testing services that are required by federal law to be performed on construction contract work, which will result in a high quality product. The inspection and testing will ensure that all parts of the construction are up to current MDOT standards.

Funding Source: Federal Highway Administration Funds, State Restricted Trunkline Funds, or local funds, depending on the particular project authorized.

Commitment Level: The hourly costs are fixed; however, the number of hours to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If this authorization is not approved, the project may not have adequate inspection and testing. This could result in substandard work. Also, failure to provide the services outlined could result in the loss of federal participation on these highway construction projects.

Cost Reduction: Costs in professional services contracts are based on an actual cost plus fixed fee basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Hours are negotiated based on needed service.

Selection: Qualifications-based.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 49001.

9. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0808) between MDOT and Aurora Landscape Design and Appraisal will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Bay Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Bay Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 48601.

10. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0809) between MDOT and Aurora Landscape Design and Appraisal will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Metro Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Metro Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 48075.

11. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0810) between MDOT and Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Bay Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Bay Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 48601.

*Denotes a non-standard contract/amendment

12. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0811) between MDOT and Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Grand Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Grand Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 48504.

13. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0812) between MDOT and Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Metro Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Metro Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 48075.

14. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0814) between MDOT and Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the North Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the North Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 49735.

15. ***HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services**

Contract (2007-0815) between MDOT and Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Southwest Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Southwest Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 49001.

16. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0816) between MDOT and Environmental Consulting & Technology, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the University Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the University Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 49201.

17. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0817) between MDOT and The Tree Doctors, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Grand Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Grand Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 49504.

18. *HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services

Contract (2007-0818) between MDOT and The Tree Doctors, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the North Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the North Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 49735.

19. ***HIGHWAYS (Real Estate) - Billboard Vegetation Removal Appraisal Services**

Contract (2007-0819) between MDOT and The Tree Doctors, Inc., will provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Southwest Region, in accordance with new legislative requirements effective January 1, 2007. Billboard owners may submit permit applications to MDOT that clearly identify the vegetation to be removed and/or managed in order to create visibility of the sign within the billboard viewing zone and that identify all proposed mitigation of the impact of the vegetation management undertaken. Work items will include the following specific tasks: verification of application packages, calculations of the values of trees to be removed and of the costs of removal, evaluation of mitigation planning activities, inspection and monitoring of permit requirements, and inspection and monitoring of permit requirements when mitigation is required. The contract will be in effect from date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will not to exceed \$220,500, to be paid by the billboard permit holders on an expenditure credit basis.

Criticality: These contracts will allow MDOT to respond to the legislatively defined requirement to appraise vegetation value and issue permits to remove vegetation at billboard locations within a legislatively defined timeframe.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for appraisal services to be performed for the removal of vegetation around billboards in the Southwest Region, in accordance with the requirements of Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Funding Source: Billboard permit holders will ultimately pay for the contract costs, so accounting for these contracts will be on an expenditure credit basis. Real Estate coding will be used to track contract expenses, so when permit fees are paid, MDOT will credit the permit fees back to the appropriate Real Estate coding.

Commitment Level: The task unit price costs are fixed; however, the number of tasks required to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If the contracts are not approved, MDOT will not be able to complete the legislatively defined actions within the legislatively defined timeframe.

Cost Reduction: Costs for this contract are on a unit price basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Specific work orders include only the tasks required for appraising a specific location.

Selection: A combined qualifications-based and low-bid selection process was used.

New Project Identification: This is a new project in response to Public Act 106 of 1972, as amended.

Zip Code: 49001.

20. ***HIGHWAYS – Retroreflective Pavement Marking Measurement Services**

Contract (2007-0820) between MDOT and B.C. Traffic Engineering, Inc., will provide for retroreflectivity measurements of pavement markings to be taken on various state trunklines in the Bay Region. The work items include taking the measurements and providing a report of retroreflectivity measurements of waterborne paint and spray thermoplastic pavement marking materials. The contract will be in effect from the date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will be \$35,788.80. Source of Funds: 100% Federal Highway Administration Funds.

Criticality: Federal regulations mandate the taking of retroreflectivity measurements of pavement markings annually. The contracts for annual pavement marking include incentive/disincentive pay ranges based on the measurements taken, and pavement marking contractors focus on providing high quality lines. If this contract is not approved, MDOT will not be able to pay pavement marking contractors and meet federal requirements. As a result, this contract cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board agenda.

*Denotes a non-standard contract/amendment

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for retroreflectivity measurements of pavement markings to be taken on various routes in the Bay Region. The work items include taking the measurements and providing a report of retroreflectivity measurements of waterborne paint and spray thermoplastic pavement marking materials, as required by federal regulations.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to pay pavement marking contractors and meet federal requirements. Line quality increases each year because pavement marking contractors focus on providing high quality lines.

Funding Source: 100% Federal Highway Administration Funds.

Commitment Level: Low bid by units.

Risk Assessment: If this contract is not approved, the measurements cannot be taken, the pavement marking contractors cannot be paid, and MDOT cannot meet federal regulations.

Cost Reduction: Low bid by units.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 48909.

21. *HIGHWAYS – Retroreflective Pavement Marking Measurement Services

Contract (2007-0822) between MDOT and B.C. Traffic Engineering, Inc., will provide for retro-reflectivity measurements of pavement markings to be taken on various state trunklines in the Metro Region. The work items include taking the measurements and providing a report of retro-reflectivity measurements of waterborne paint and spray thermoplastic pavement marking materials. The contract will be in effect from the date of award through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will be \$30,941.20. Source of Funds: 100% Federal Highway Administration Funds.

Criticality: Federal regulations mandate the taking of retroreflectivity measurements of pavement markings annually. The contracts for annual pavement marking include incentive/disincentive pay ranges based on the measurements taken, and pavement marking contractors focus on providing high quality lines. If this contract is not approved, MDOT will not be able to pay pavement marking contractors and meet federal requirements. As a result, this contract cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board agenda.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for retroreflectivity measurements of pavement markings to be taken on various routes in the Metro Region. The work items include taking the measurements and providing a report of retroreflectivity measurements of waterborne paint and spray thermoplastic pavement marking materials, as required by federal regulations.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to pay pavement marking contractors and meet federal requirements. Line quality increases each year because pavement marking contractors focus on providing high quality lines.

Funding Source: 100% Federal Highway Administration Funds.

Commitment Level: Low bid by units.

Risk Assessment: If this contract is not approved, the measurements cannot be taken, the pavement marking contractors cannot be paid, and MDOT cannot meet federal regulations.

Cost Reduction: Low bid by units.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 48909.

22. *HIGHWAYS – Retroreflective Pavement Marking Measurement Services

Contract (2007-0829) between MDOT and B.C. Traffic Engineering, Inc., will provide for retro-reflectivity measurements of pavement markings to be taken on various state trunklines in the Superior Region (28 days retroactive). The work items include taking the measurements and providing a report of retro-reflectivity measurements of waterborne paint and spray thermoplastic pavement marking materials. This contract is retroactive because of an administrative error. The contract will be in effect from September 5, 2007, through September 30, 2008. The contract amount will be \$36,335.63. Source of Funds: 100% Federal Highway Administration Funds.

Criticality: Federal regulations mandate the taking of retroreflectivity measurements of pavement markings annually. The contracts for annual pavement marking include incentive/disincentive pay ranges based on the measurements taken, and pavement marking contractors focus on providing high quality lines. If this contract is not approved, MDOT will not be able to pay pavement marking contractors and meet federal requirements. As a result, this contract cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board agenda.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for retroreflectivity measurements of pavement markings to be taken on various routes in the Superior Region. The work items include taking the measurements and providing a report of retroreflectivity measurements of waterborne paint and spray thermoplastic pavement marking materials, as required by federal regulations.

Benefit: MDOT will be able to pay pavement marking contractors and meet federal requirements. Line quality increases each year because pavement marking contractors focus on providing high quality lines.

Benefit: Line quality increases each year because pavement marking contractors focus on providing a high quality line. MDOT will be able to pay pavement marking contractors and meet federal regulations.

Funding Source: 100% Federal Highway Administration Funds.

Commitment Level: Low bid by units.

Risk Assessment: If this contract is not approved, the measurements cannot be taken, the pavement marking contractors cannot be paid, and MDOT cannot meet federal regulations.

Cost Reduction: Low bid by units.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 48909.

23. INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE ADMINISTRATION - IDS Engineering Services

Authorization (Z7) under Contract (2006-0124) between the International Bridge Administration and Parsons Transportation Group, Inc., of Michigan will provide for the performance of a type study for the widening of the International Bridge from the south abutment to Pier No. 11 in Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan. The work items include defining specific site parameters, developing bridge design alternatives, preparing conceptual design analysis of select spans of the existing structure to determine appropriate modification, and preparing a final report. The authorization will be in effect from the date of award through May 24, 2009. The authorization amount will be \$113,988.49. The contract term is May 25, 2006, through May 24, 2009. Source of Funds: 100% International Bridge Administration Toll Revenue Funds.

Criticality: An inadequate number of traffic queuing (stacking) lanes of inadequate length for vehicles awaiting United States Customs and Border Patrol (USCBP) primary inspection at the Sault Ste. Marie International Bridge crossing creates increasing instances of motorists backing up. Overlapping backups on the two-lane bridge can create barriers to bridge security vehicles and emergency vehicles, such as ambulances and fire-fighting vehicles, and can make fire-fighting riser pipes on the bridge inaccessible. The lane deficiency also makes it difficult for the USCBP to efficiently operate programs for the processing of low risk, pre-approved travelers and goods such as the NEXUS Border Crossing Program and the Free and Secure Trade (FAST) Program. Without an adequate number of lanes, low risk security program traffic may become caught in the general traffic queue on the bridge, providing little incentive for travelers to participate in these programs. As a result, this authorization cannot be deferred until a later State Administrative Board agenda.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for the performance of a type study for the widening of the International Bridge from the south abutment to Pier No. 11. The best solution to the lane deficiency is to add two southbound lanes (approximately 850 feet in length) to the south end of the bridge and to widen the southbound approach area between the bridge and the USCBP inspection booths. This would provide dedicated queuing lanes for NEXUS and FAST traffic, improve traffic flow, and shorten bridge crossing waiting times significantly.

Benefit: Adding dedicated traffic queuing capacity will improve traffic flow, reduce bridge crossing waiting times, improve bridge operational efficiency, and reduce the extent to which waiting time can be a barrier to bridge usage. Adding dedicated traffic queuing capacity for NEXUS and FAST program traffic will facilitate participation in these low risk border security programs and should generate fuel savings.

Funding Source: 100% International Bridge Administration Toll Revenue Funds.

Commitment Level: The hourly costs are fixed; however, the number of hours to perform this work has been estimated.

Risk Assessment: If this authorization is not approved and additional lanes are not added, the risks of barriers to bridge security vehicles and emergency vehicles and of inaccessibility to fire-fighting riser pipes on the bridge will continue. Without dedicated lanes for participants in the NEXUS and FAST programs, the operation of these low risk security programs for travelers and goods will not be successful at the Sault Ste. Marie crossing. The NEXUS and FAST programs allow the USCBP and Canadian customs and immigration authorities to concentrate their efforts on potentially high-risk travelers and goods, thereby upholding security and protection standards at the border.

Cost Reduction: Costs in professional services contracts are based on an actual cost plus fixed fee basis not to exceed the contract maximum amount. Hours are negotiated based on needed service.

Selection: Qualifications-based.

New Project Identification: This is a new project.

Zip Code: 49783.

24. PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Section 5307/CMAQ Program

Project Authorization Revision (Z8/R1) under Master Agreement (2002-0020) between MDOT and the Blue Water Area Transportation Commission (BWATC), which provides transit service in St. Clair County, will renew the authorization and extend the authorization term by one year to provide sufficient time for BWATC to complete the project. Because there was insufficient space for new buses at the old transit facility, BWATC delayed the purchase of new buses until the new transit facility was completed. The new transit facility was completed in the winter of 2007, and BWATC is now ready to purchase the new buses. The original authorization provided state matching funds for BWATC's FY 2004 Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Capital and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program grant for the purchase of up to three replacement buses. The revised authorization term will be from July 7, 2004, through July 6, 2007, and from the date of award of this revision through July 6, 2008. No costs will be incurred between the expiration date of the original authorization and the date of award of this revision. The authorization amount remains unchanged at \$795,000. The term of the master agreement is from October 1, 2001, until the last obligation between the parties has been fulfilled. The master agreement includes authorizations for program years FY 2002 through FY 2006. Source of Funds: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Funds - \$636,000; FY 2002 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$159,000.

Criticality: Approval at this time is critical to allow BWATC to continue with the procurement of buses that are needed to replace vehicles that have met their useful lives and may no longer be safe to operate. The replacement buses are needed to ensure passenger safety.

Purpose/Business Case: To renew the authorization and extend the authorization term by one year to provide sufficient time for BWATC to complete the project.

Benefit: Increased public safety through improved transportation infrastructure.

Funding Source: FTA Funds - \$636,000; FY 2002 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$159,000.

Commitment Level: Authorization amount is based on cost estimates.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving this revision is the loss of federal funds.

Cost Reduction: Grant amount is determined by the FTA and is not negotiated.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 48060.

25. PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Section 3037 Program

Project Authorization Revision (Z19/R1) under Master Agreement (2002-0033) between MDOT and the City of Detroit, Department of Transportation (DDOT), will retroactively extend the authorization term by one year (26 days retroactive) to provide sufficient time for DDOT to complete the project. The additional time is needed because one of DDOT's subrecipients underwent major structural changes that temporarily halted its Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) program. The subrecipient's JARC program is now up and running effectively, but additional time is needed for the subrecipient to expend the remaining funds and complete the program. The original authorization, which expired on September 6, 2007, provided state matching funds for DDOT's FY 2004 Federal Section 3037 JARC Program grant. The program provides assistance for work-related transportation services for low-income residents in the Detroit area and provides for the purchase of communication equipment and vans. The revised authorization term will be September 7, 2004, through September 6, 2008. The authorization amount remains unchanged at \$3,232,479. The term of the master agreement is from October 1, 2001, until the last obligation between the parties has been fulfilled. The master agreement includes authorizations for program years FY 2002 through FY 2006. Source of Funds: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Funds - \$1,586,016; FY 2002 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$1,417,516; City of Detroit Funds - \$228,947.

This authorization revision is retroactive because DDOT and its subrecipient thought that all the JARC funds would be expended in the last quarter, but, due to JARC service reductions, funds remain. By the time DDOT realized that funds remained in the JARC program, it was too late to extend this authorization before it expired.

Criticality: Approval of this authorization is critical to allow continuation of transportation to work services for low-income individuals in Detroit area.

Purpose/Business Case: To extend the authorization term by one year to provide sufficient time for DDOT to provide transportation to work services for low-income individuals.

Benefit: Increased public safety through improved transportation services and infrastructure.

Funding Source: FTA Funds - \$1,586,016; FY 2002 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$1,417,516; City of Detroit Funds - \$228,947.

Commitment Level: Authorization amount is based on cost estimates.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving this revision is the loss of federal funds.

Cost Reduction: Grant amount is determined by the FTA and is not negotiated.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 48207.

26. PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Section 5307 Program

Project Authorization Revision (Z30/R2) under Master Agreement (2002-0049) between MDOT and the Interurban Transit Partnership (ITP), in Kent County, will increase the authorization amount by \$600,000, \$480,000 in federal funds and \$120,000 in state matching funds, for the purchase of two additional large buses due to an increase in the federal award. The original authorization provides state matching funds for ITP's FY 2006 Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Capital Program, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) Improvement Program and Surface Transportation Program grant. The revised authorization amount will be \$8,518,695. The toll credit amount of \$652,408 previously allocated to the project remains unchanged. The authorization term remains unchanged, June 7, 2006, through June 6, 2009. The term of the master agreement is from October 1, 2001, until the last obligation between the parties has been fulfilled. The master agreement includes authorizations for program years FY 2002 through FY 2006. Source of Funds: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Funds - \$7,518,422; FY 2006 and FY 2008 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$1,000,273. FY 2008 funding is contingent upon legislative appropriation.

Criticality: Approval of this authorization at this time is critical to allow ITP to replace buses that have met their useful lives. The project is needed to ensure passenger safety and to improve air quality.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide \$120,000 in state matching funds for ITP's additional \$480,000 in FY 2006 Federal CMAQ funds for the purchase of two large buses.

Benefit: Increased public safety through improved transportation infrastructure.

Funding Source: FTA Funds - \$7,518,422; FY 2006 and FY 2008 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$1,000,273.

Commitment Level: Authorization amount is based on cost estimates.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving this revision is the loss of federal funds.

Cost Reduction: Grant amount is determined by the FTA and is not negotiated.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 49503.

27. PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Section 5309 Program

Project Authorization Revision (Z13/R1) under Master Agreement (2002-0054) between MDOT and the City of Jackson Transportation Authority (JTA) will extend the authorization term by one year to provide sufficient time for JTA to complete the project. The bidding process took longer than anticipated, which caused a delay in the manufacturing and delivery of seven buses. As a result, the additional time is needed to provide sufficient time for JTA to complete the purchase of the buses. The original authorization provides state matching funds for JTA's FY 2004 Federal Section 5309 Capital Discretionary Program grant. The revised authorization term will be January 27, 2005, through January 26, 2009. The authorization amount remains unchanged at \$752,635. The term of the master agreement is from October 1, 2001, until the last obligation between the parties has been fulfilled. The master agreement includes authorizations for program years FY 2002 through FY 2006. Source of Funds: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Funds - \$602,108; FY 2002 and FY 2006 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$150,527.

Criticality: Approval of this revision is critical to allow JTA to continue with the procurement of vehicles that will enable JTA to maintain a safe, reliable fleet.

Purpose/Business Case: To extend the authorization term by one year to provide sufficient time for JTA to complete vehicle procurement.

Benefit: Increased public safety through improved transportation infrastructure.

Funding Source: FTA Funds - \$602,108; FY 2002 and FY 2006 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$150,527.

Commitment Level: Authorization amount is based on cost estimates.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving this revision is the loss of federal funds.

Cost Reduction: Grant amount is determined by the FTA and is not negotiated.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 49203.

28. PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Section 5307/Surface Transportation Program

Project Authorization Revision (Z34/R1) under Master Agreement (2002-0066) between MDOT and the Mass Transportation Authority (MTA), in Genesee County, will add a line item for preventive maintenance, will decrease state funding by \$86,505, will increase toll credits by \$69,204, and will adjust funding between line items. This revision is being requested because of changing priorities and to reflect MTA's approved amendment to an existing federal grant. This revision has been approved by the Genesee County Metropolitan Planning Commission. The original authorization provides state matching funds for MTA's FY 2005 Federal Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Capital Program and Surface Transportation Program grant. The authorization term remains unchanged, May 12, 2006, through May 11, 2009. The revised authorization amount will be \$2,099,419. The additional toll credits will be allocated as match for the preventive maintenance line item. The revised toll credit amount will be \$76,089. The term of the master agreement is from October 1, 2001, until the last obligation between the parties has been fulfilled. The master agreement includes authorizations for program years FY 2002 through FY 2006. Source of Funds: Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Funds - \$1,755,624; FY 2003 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$343,795.

Criticality: This revision is critical because it adds the preventive maintenance line item, which will enable MTA to continue to conduct preventive maintenance activities to ensure a safe, reliable fleet.

Purpose/Business Case: To add a preventive maintenance line item, to decrease state funding by \$86,505, to increase toll credits by \$69,204, and to adjust funding between line items.

Benefit: Increased public safety through improved transportation infrastructure.

Funding Source: FTA Funds - \$1,755,624; FY 2003 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$343,795.

Commitment Level: Authorization amount is based on cost estimates.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving this revision is the loss of federal funds.

Cost Reduction: Grant amount is determined by the FTA and is not negotiated.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 48503.

29. *PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Increase Funding and Extend Term

Amendatory Contract (2003-0571/A2) between MDOT and National Intelligent Traffic Systems (NITS) will provide an additional \$20,000 in Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) Section 1010 funds and will extend the contract term by two years to provide sufficient time for completion of the installation of an innovative grade crossing warning (IGCW) device at the grade crossing of the Norfolk Southern Corporation at Denton Road in Van Buren Township, Wayne County (23 days retroactive). The project has been delayed by negotiations for satisfactory indemnification and by railroad liability insurance issues, which have recently been agreed upon. The additional funding will cover the increase in installation costs due to the time that has lapsed between the original expected project start date and the present. The IGCW device is a raisable barrier system that will be interconnected with the existing flashing-light signals, half-roadway gates, and signal circuitry. The test project will include the installation and maintenance of the IGCW device, interconnection with the existing railroad grade crossing warning devices, electrical power supply, video monitoring, and removal of the IGCW device and restoration of the roadways, when necessary. This test project is being conducted to study the new device's effectiveness in eliminating the occurrence of motorists ignoring fully-activated flashing-light signals and half-roadway gates. This test project has been approved by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the FRA. The revised contract term will be September 10, 2003, through September 9, 2009. The revised contract amount will be \$277,000. Source of Funds: FRA Funds- \$118,446.68; FY 2002 State Restricted Trunkline Funds - \$158,553.32.

This amendment is retroactive because the project manager erroneously assumed that the contract expired on September 30, 2007 (a common expiration date for contracts for this area). The project manager expected the IGCW device to be installed and operating by September 30, 2007, but then realized that the contract would expire on September 9, 2007.

Criticality: Approval of this amendment is critical to provide sufficient time for NITS to complete the grade crossing warning device project, which has the potential to reduce car-train accidents and save lives in Michigan and across the nation.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for a two-year time extension to provide sufficient time for NITS to complete the installation of the IGCW devices and the study of their effectiveness. This is a cooperative effort between the FHWA, the FRA, and MDOT to test this innovative grade crossing warning device. The IGCW device is to be tested for its effectiveness in deterring motorists from ignoring active warning devices and for its operating reliability in Michigan's variable weather conditions.

Benefit: The federal approval allows MDOT to be the first state in the nation to install and test this new grade crossing warning device. Michigan has long been a national leader in promoting grade crossing safety and initiating improvements for public safety. This project provides an opportunity for Michigan to remain in the forefront of safety innovation. If approved, it will also give Michigan access to another tool to reduce car-train accidents and save lives.

Funding Source: FRA Funds- \$118,446.68; FY 2002 State Restricted Trunkline Funds - \$158,553.32.

Commitment Level: The contract amount is based on cost estimates that have increased as a result of the lengthy contract negotiations and labor costs.

Risk Assessment: If this amendment is not awarded, MDOT might not be able to install and study the effectiveness of equipment already purchased and would lose the federal grant monies for the purchased equipment, up to a maximum of \$170,000. Michigan would lose the opportunity to be recognized as a national leader in improving grade crossing safety.

Cost Reduction: The cost estimates have been reviewed by MDOT's Bus Acquisition and Intercity Transportation Section and found to be reasonable and valid.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is not a new project.

Zip Code: 43017.

*Denotes a non-standard contract/amendment

30.-39. PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Specialized Services Program

The following project authorizations issued under master agreements between MDOT and the following agencies will retroactively provide 100 percent state funding for the FY 2008 Specialized Services Program, which furnishes operating assistance for transportation services for elderly individuals and individuals with disabilities (two days retroactive). The funds will be used for eligible specialized services providers or public transit systems. Reimbursement is based on \$1.20 per vehicle mile, \$4.07 per one-way passenger trip, or \$0.29 per vehicle mile for the volunteer driver portion. The authorizations will be in effect from October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2008. The authorizations are retroactive as MDOT delayed processing them in anticipation of an approved FY 2008 Appropriation Bill. As a bill has still not been passed, and in order to preclude further retroactivity, MDOT is now proceeding with these authorizations. The total amount of the authorizations will be \$358,877. The terms of the master agreements are from October 1, 2006, until the last obligations between the parties have been fulfilled. The master agreements include authorizations for program years FY 2007 through FY 2011. Source of Funds: FY 2008 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$358,877. FY 2008 funding is contingent upon legislative appropriation.

	<u>Agreement Number</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Total</u>
30.	2007-0155/Z2	Mecosta County Board of Commissioners	\$34,431
31.	2007-0179/Z4	Branch Area Transit Authority (Branch County)	\$31,701
32.	2007-0191/Z5	Cheboygan County Board of Commissioners	\$25,000
33.	2007-0200/Z2	Delta County Board of Commissioners	\$44,290
34.	2007-0220/Z3	Handicappers Information Council and Patient Equipment Locker, Inc. (Griiot County)	\$41,213
35.	2007-0248/Z3	Mackinac County Board of Commissioners	\$28,842
36.	2007-0266/Z2	Newaygo County Board of Commissioners	\$32,410
37.	2007-0271/Z2	Oceana County Board of Commissioners	\$27,828
38.	2007-0275/Z3	Oscoda County Area Transit Specialists	\$49,751
39.	2007-0279/Z2	Presque Isle County Board of Commissioners	\$43,411

Criticality: Approval of these authorizations is critical to the continuation of the specialized services transportation program, which provides operating assistance for transportation services for elderly individuals and individuals with disabilities.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide operating assistance for transportation services for elderly individuals and individuals with disabilities.

Benefit: Increased public transportation services.

Funding Source: FY 2008 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$358,877.

Commitment Level: Authorization amounts are based on cost estimates.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving these authorizations is the loss of services for the elderly and disabled.

Cost Reduction: Reimbursement is based on the costs of services provided.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: These are new projects.

Zip Code: 48909.

40.-59. PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Specialized Services Program

The following project authorizations issued under master agreements between MDOT and the following agencies will retroactively provide 100 percent state funding for the FY 2008 Specialized Services Program, which furnishes operating assistance for transportation services for elderly individuals and individuals with disabilities (two days retroactive). The funds will be used for eligible specialized services providers or public transit systems. Reimbursement is based on \$1.20 per vehicle mile, \$4.07 per one-way passenger trip, or \$0.29 per vehicle mile for the volunteer driver portion. The authorizations will be in effect from October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2008. The authorizations are retroactive as MDOT delayed processing them in anticipation of an approved FY 2008 Appropriation Bill. As a bill has still not been passed, and in order to preclude further retroactivity, MDOT is now proceeding with these authorizations. The total amount of the authorizations will be \$3,463,438. The terms of the master agreements are from October 1, 2006, until the last obligations between the parties have been fulfilled. The master agreements include authorizations for program years FY 2007 through FY 2011. Source of Funds: FY 2008 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$3,463,438. FY 2008 funding is contingent upon legislative appropriation.

	<u>Agreement Number</u>	<u>Agency</u>	<u>Total</u>
40.	2007-0157/Z7	Allegan County Board of Commissioners	\$106,704
41.	2007-0162/Z6	Ann Arbor Transportation Authority (Washtenaw County)	\$176,840
42.	2007-0169/Z4	Battle Creek, City of (Calhoun County)	\$ 92,624
43.	2007-0171/Z6	Bay Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Bay County)	\$138,434
44.	2007-0185/Z6	Capital Area Transportation Authority (Ingham County)	\$ 82,189
45.	2007-0201/Z6	Detroit, City of (Wayne County)	\$377,758
46.	2007-0208/Z3	Friendship Centers of Emmet County	\$ 93,214
47.	2007-0226/Z3	Houghton, City of	\$ 58,172
48.	2007-0230/Z6	Interurban Transit Partnership (Kent County)	\$463,289
49.	2007-0239/Z6	Kalamazoo, City of	\$ 74,990
50.	2007-0241/Z5	Key Opportunities, Inc. (Hillsdale County)	\$ 56,999
51.	2007-0245/Z3	Livingston County Board of Commissioners	\$ 59,862
52.	2007-0252/Z6	Marquette County Transit Authority	\$ 58,961
53.	2007-0254/Z7	Mass Transportation Authority (Genesee County)	\$306,772
54.	2007-0264/Z7	Muskegon County Board of Commissioners	\$ 65,499
55.	2007-0277/Z4	Ottawa County Board of Commissioners	\$157,569
56.	2007-0284/Z4	Saginaw Transit Authority Regional Services	\$ 98,924
57.	2007-0290/Z5	Shiawassee Area Transportation Agency	\$ 78,374
58.	2007-0294/Z9	Suburban Mobility Authority for Regional Transportation (Southeast Michigan)	\$787,819
59.	2007-0298/Z3	Upper Peninsula Community Services, Inc. (Dickinson County)	\$128,445

*Denotes a non-standard contract/amendment

Criticality: Approval of these authorizations is critical to the continuation of the specialized services transportation program, which provides operating assistance for transportation services for elderly individuals and individuals with disabilities.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide for operating assistance for coordinated transportation services for elderly individuals and individuals with disabilities.

Benefit: Increased public transportation services.

Funding Source: FY 2008 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$3,463,438.

Commitment Level: Authorization amounts are based on cost estimates.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving these authorizations is the loss of services for the elderly and disabled.

Cost Reduction: Reimbursement is based on the costs of services provided.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: These are new projects.

Zip Code: 48909.

60. ***PASSENGER TRANSPORTATION - Section 5310 Revenue Grant**

Contract (2007-0678) between MDOT and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) will provide for a grant of \$3,812,077 in Section 5310 funds to serve the transportation needs of the elderly and persons with disabilities. The funds will be used to purchase transportation vehicles for one public transit agency and 20 private, nonprofit organizations that are eligible for FY 2007 Federal Section 5310 Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Program grant funds. State matching funds in the amount of \$953,020 will be provided, for a total contract amount of \$4,765,097. The contract will be in effect from August 31, 2007, until the last obligation between the parties has been fulfilled. The contract is retroactive due to the effective date matching the federal grant effective date. This is one of the retroactive contract categories exempted by the State Administrative Board (SAB) on October 6, 1992, from the SAB retroactive contract policy. Source of Funds: FTA Funds - \$3,812,077; FY 2007 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$953,020.

Criticality: Approval of this contract is critical to allow transit agencies to undertake the procurement of buses that are needed to replace buses that have met their useful lives. The replacement buses are needed to ensure the safety of passengers.

Purpose/Business Case: To provide federal funding for the purchase of transportation vehicles for 21 eligible agencies under the FY 2007 Federal Section 5310 Program.

Benefit: Increased public safety through improved transportation infrastructure.

Funding Source: FTA Funds - \$3,812,077; FY 2007 State Restricted Comprehensive Transportation Funds - \$953,020.

Commitment Level: Contract amount is based on cost estimates.

Risk Assessment: The risk of not approving this contract is the loss of federal funds.

Cost Reduction: Grant amount is determined by the FTA and is not negotiated.

Selection: N/A.

New Project Identification: This is a new project.

Zip Code: 48909.

EXTRAS

61. Extra 2007 - 137

Control Section/Job Number: 22426-84490 Local Agency Project

State Administrative Board - This project is under \$800,000 and the extra exceeds the \$48,000 Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - This project exceeds the 10% Commission limit for reviewing extras.

Contractor: Bacco Construction Company
P.O. Box 458
Iron Mountain, MI 49801

Designed By: STS Consultants, Ltd.
Engineer's Estimate: \$660,401.00

Description of Project:

1.17 miles of road resurfacing, including cold milling, concrete curb and gutter, sidewalk ramps, storm sewer, drainage structures, hot mixed asphalt paving, watermain improvements, and pavement markings on H Street from Kimberly Avenue to US-2, on Prospect Street from Detroit Street to West H Street and on Park Street from Oslo Avenue to East F Street in the City of Iron Mountain, Dickinson County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	November 21, 2006	
Contract Date:	December 8, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$716,310.79	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>84,199.18</u>	<u>+ 11.75%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$800,509.97</u>	+ 11.75%

Offset Information

Total Offsets This Request	(\$91,550.68)	- 12.78%
Net Revised Request	(\$7,351.50)	- 1.03%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 0.00% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$716,310.79.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 11.75% or \$84,199.18 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board: None

Contract Modification Number(s): 2

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 2

Curb and Gutter, Conc, Det C2	4,901.000 Ft @ \$17.18/Ft	<u>\$84,199.18</u>
Total		<u>\$84,199.18</u>

CM 2 Offset Information

Curb and Gutter, Conc, Det C6	-4,901.000 Ft @ \$18.68/Ft	<u>(\$91,550.68)</u>
Total		<u>(\$91,550.68)</u>

Net Revised CM 2 Request

(\$7,351.50)

Total

\$84,199.18

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

The city requested that the curb be changed from a C6 to a C2 curb. The difference between the C6 and the C2 curb is one foot in width. The extra cost for Curb and Gutter, Conc, Det C2 was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction, and is reasonable when compared to MDOT's Average Unit Price Index and original bid items. The extra cost is completely offset by a \$91,550.68 reduction in the original items listed above.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra was recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007, meeting and is now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 59.72%; State Restricted Trunkline, 14.93%; City of Iron Mountain, 25.35%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 49801.

62. **Extra 2007 - 138**

Control Section/Job Number: 25544-77408A Local Agency Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - This project exceeds the 10% Commission limit for reviewing extras.

Contractor: Genoak Construction Company
P.O. Box 182
Holly, MI 48442

Designed By: Rowe, Inc.
Engineer's Estimate: \$1,597,940.60

Description of Project:

Widening and reconstruction of existing road on Court Street from Genesee Road to Belsay Road in the City of Burton, Genesee County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	August 3, 2004	
Contract Date:	August 17, 2004	
Original Contract Amount:	\$1,410,310.91	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	(43,827.44)	- 3.11%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	184,725.34	+ 13.10%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>6,895.22</u>	<u>+ 0.49%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$1,558,104.03</u>	+ 10.48%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 9.99% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$1,551,208.81.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 10.48% or \$147,793.12 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board:

Item Number	Contract Modification Number	Amount	SAB Date
2005-31	8	\$73,813.64	04/05/05
2007-10	9 r. 6, 10 r. 4, 11 r. 1	\$50,126.50	02/06/07

Contract Modification Number(s): 13

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 13

Traffic Control Adjustment, Extension of Contract Time

\$6,895.22**Total****\$6,895.22****Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):**

Section 812.04, Item T of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction requires a contract adjustment be paid for traffic control items used on a project during an approved extension of time when liquidated damages are not assessed. The project had approved extensions of time totaling 28 days without the assessment of liquidated damages. Traffic control devices were required to be used during the extended time frame and each of these items were included in the original contract bid items. A contract adjustment was calculated per the specification section listed above. Therefore, the cost for Traffic Control Adjustment, Extension of Contract Time was determined as a contract mandated extra cost, per the formula in Section 812.04, Item T of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra was recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007, meeting and is now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: This extra is critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: This extra item is essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding this item, the State receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: State Restricted Economic Development, 80%; City of Burton, 20%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48519.

63. **Extra 2007 - 139**

Control Section/Job Number: 25544-81005 Local Agency Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - Does not meet criteria.

Contractor: L.A. Construction Corporation
4067 Commerce Drive
Flushing, MI 48433

Designed By: Rowe, Inc
Engineer's Estimate: \$1,014,937.00

Description of Project:

Reconstruction and widening of the Perry Road and Belsay Road intersection including the addition of a center turn lane on all approaches, Genesee County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	September 30, 2005	
Contract Date:	November 8, 2005	
Original Contract Amount:	\$891,413.49	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	(66,726.34)	- 7.49%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	88,009.78	+ 9.87%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>581.40</u>	<u>+ 0.07%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$913,278.33</u>	+ 2.45%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 2.38% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$912,696.93.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 2.45% or \$21,864.84 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board:

Item Number	Contract Modification Number	Amount	SAB Date
2007-59	3 r. 1	\$48,934.16	04/17/07

Contract Modification Number(s): 4 r.1

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

Sidewalk Ramp	193.800 Sft @ \$3.00/Sft	<u>\$581.40</u>
Total		<u>\$581.40</u>

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

The plans did not include the sidewalk ramps at the driveways for the project. The engineer directed the contractor to install the ramps. The extra cost for Sidewalk Ramp was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with MDOT's Average Unit Price Index.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra is recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board at its October 2, 2007, meeting.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 80.00%; Genesee County, 20.00%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48439.

64. **Extra 2007 – 140**

Control Section/Job Number: 46062-76009 MDOT Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - Does not meet criteria.

Contractor: Bailey Excavating, Inc.
P.O. Box 660
Jackson, MI 49204

Designed By: MDOT

Engineer's Estimate: \$1,175,904.42

Description of Project:

0.93 miles of hot mix asphalt cold milling, widening for center left turn lane, intersection improvements, drainage, storm sewer installation, and restoration, on US-223 from west of Ogden Highway to east of Ogden Highway, and on Ogden Highway from south of US-223 northerly to north of US-223, Lenawee County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	December 19, 2006	
Contract Date:	January 29, 2007	
Original Contract Amount:	\$1,112,612.35	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	28,911.21	+ 2.60%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>64,776.00</u>	<u>+ 5.82%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$1,206,299.56</u>	+ 8.42%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 2.60% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$1,141,523.56.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 8.42% or \$93,687.21 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board: None

Contract Modification Number(s): 3 r. 1

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 3

HMA, 13A

1,200.000 Ton @ \$53.98/Ton

\$64,776.00**Total****\$64,776.00****Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):**

The project was originally set up to have the shoulders paved with superpave hot mix asphalt (HMA). The region soils engineer recommended that the shoulder HMA material be changed to a Marshall mix 13A. The engineer directed the contractor to change to the 13A material. When the project is completed, this change will result in an overall savings to the project; since the superpave items are being used on other portions of the project the exact amount of the savings is not known. If the superpave items were reduced by a matching amount to the new item, it would result in a savings of about \$5,000. The extra cost for HMA, 13A was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with MDOT's Average Unit Price Index.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra is recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: This extra is critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: This extra item is essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding this item, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 80%; State Restricted Trunkline, 20%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 49221.

65. **Extra 2007 - 142**

Control Section/Job Number: 73171-75246 MDOT Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras. This project also has at least one extra that exceeds the \$100,000 Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - Does not meet criteria.

Contractor: Interstate Highway Construction
P.O. Box 4356
Englewood, CO 80155

Designed By: MDOT
Rowe, Inc.
HH Engineering, Limited
URS Corporation Great Lakes

Engineer's Estimate: \$54,000,289.38

Description of Project:

6.67 miles of concrete reconstruction, widening, and median enclosure, drainage and safety improvements on I-75 from Birch Run Creek northerly to Dixie Highway, and superstructure replacement on I-75 over Dixie Highway, bridge widening on I-75 over the Cass River, and bridge rehabilitation on I-75 under Maple Road, Curtis Road, Riverview Drive, and Townline Road, Saginaw County. This project includes a 5 year material and workmanship pavement warranty.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	September 19, 2006	
Contract Date:	October 3, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$49,944,782.37	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	(6,370,260.76)	- 12.75%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	4,576,923.49	+ 9.16%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>166,711.80</u>	<u>+ 0.33%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$48,318,156.90</u>	- 3.26%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 3.59% under the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$48,151,445.10.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 3.26% or \$1,626,625.47 under the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board:

Item Number	Contract Modification Number	Amount	SAB Date
2007-93	1 r. 2	\$4,576,923.49	07/03/07

Contract Modification Number(s): 4 r. 2

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 4

Steel Sheet Piling, Permanent Type SZ 222	4,084.000 Sft @ \$36.45/Sft	\$148,861.80
Shear Developers SO8-1 Retaining Wall	1.000 LS @ \$8,925.00/LS	8,925.00
Shear Developers SO8-2 Retaining Wall	1.000 LS @ \$8,925.00/LS	<u>8,925.00</u>
Total		<u>\$166,711.80</u>

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

The plans did not include a detail showing how to retain the slope paving in the area where the sidewalk was shown to be installed next to Dixie Highway. The engineer discussed this with the bridge designer and they determined the best alternative would be to drive sheet piling behind the sidewalk, attach shear developers to the face of the sheet piling, and pour a retaining wall next to the sheet piling. The engineer directed the contractor to install the retaining wall. All of the items on contract modification 4 are associated with installing the retaining wall. The extra cost for the Steel Sheet Piling, Permanent Type SZ 222; Shear Developers S08-1 Retaining Wall and Shear Developers S08-2 Retaining Wall were negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared to similar items in MDOT's Average Unit Price Index.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra is recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board at its October 2, 2007 meeting.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: 75246A & 87227A: State Restricted Trunkline, 100%.

84897A: FHWA, 89.59%; SBC, 0.46%; State Restricted Trunkline, 9.95%.

84983A & 86083A: FHWA, 90%; State Restricted Trunkline, 10%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48722.

66. **Extra 2007 - 143**

Control Section/Job Number: 76423-82740 Local Agency Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - This project exceeds the 10% Commission limit for reviewing extras.

Contractor: C & D Hughes, Inc.
3097 Lansing Road
Charlotte, MI 48813

Designed By: Capital Consultants, Inc.
Engineer's Estimate: \$1,098,120.49

Description of Project:

0.22 miles of street reconstruction with hot mix asphalt pavement, water main, concrete sidewalk, brick pavers, trees, precast planters and irrigation on Main Street from Oak Street to Mercer Street in the City of Durand, Shiawassee County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	June 6, 2006	
Contract Date:	June 21, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$904,684.17	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	44,728.04	+ 4.94%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	50,844.83	+ 5.62%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>62,926.58</u>	<u>+ 6.96%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$1,063,183.62</u>	+ 17.52%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 10.56% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$1,000,257.04.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 17.52% or \$158,499.45 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board: None

Contract Modification Number(s): 4

These contract modifications request payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 4

Sanitary Sewer Repair	15.000 Ea @ \$1,850.00/Ea	\$27,750.00
Sewer Lead Replacement at 218 W. Main	1.000 Ea @ \$12,396.17/Ea	12,396.17
Tree Guard Modification	24.000 Ea @ \$425.00/Ea	10,200.00
Monument Box, Adj Property Iron Replacement	1.000 Ea @ \$700.00/Ea	700.00
Water Main Conflict, 10 inch	1.000 Ea @ \$11,880.41/Ea	11,880.41
Total		<u>\$62,926.58</u>

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

During construction of the water main, sanitary sewer leads were in direct conflict with watermain installation and needed to be reconstructed. The sewer leads were not shown on the plans; the engineer directed the contractor to repair the leads. The extra costs for Sanitary Sewer Repair were negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with similar items in MDOT's Average Unit Price Index. This extra work is 100 percent funded by the City of Durand.

During construction, a double sanitary sewer lead was discovered at one address; one of the leads went to a vacant lot. At the direction of the engineer, the lead was abandoned and the contractor continued working. The City of Durand's Public Work Director reviewed the situation and determined that the lead should be reestablished in case the vacant lot is used in the future. The engineer directed the contractor to replace the lead completely to the property line. The extra costs for Sewer Lead Replacement at 218 W. Main were negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared to the time, equipment and materials needed to complete the work. This extra work is 100 percent funded by the City of Durand.

The project included placing tree guards around the newly planted trees. When the as-proposed tree guards were built, they were too tall for the trees' lower branches. The city also requested that the location of the electric receptacles be changed, which required changes to the tree guards. The engineer directed the contractor to make the modifications to the tree guards. The extra costs for Tree Guard Modification were negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with similar items in MDOT's Average Unit Price Index.

Additional sidewalk was added to a portion of the project. When grading for the sidewalk, a property iron was found and disturbed at no fault of the contractor. The engineer directed the contractor to replace the iron. The extra cost for Monument Box, Adj Property Iron Replacement was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The cost was deemed reasonable when compared with similar items in MDOT's Average Unit Price Index.

During construction at the intersection of Main and Lovejoy Streets it was determined that the existing water main was in a location that was different than shown on the plans, and the size of the tie in for servicing the system was different than as shown on the plans. This, coupled with the need to keep emergency fire protection and the existing water main system in operation, required the contractor to perform additional work at this location. The engineer directed the contractor to complete the work. The extra costs for Water Main, Conflict, 10 inch, was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared to the time, equipment and materials needed to complete the work. This extra work is 100 percent funded by the City of Durand.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

These Extras were recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007, meeting, and are now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 44.57%; City of Durand, 55.43%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48429.

67. **Extra 2007 - 144**

Control Section/Job Number:	77041-55662	MDOT Project
State Administrative Board -	This project has at least one extra that exceeds the \$100,000 Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.	
State Transportation Commission -	Does not meet criteria.	
Contractor:	Ace Asphalt & Paving Co. 115 South Averill Avenue Flint, MI 48506	
Designed By:	MDOT	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$4,484,151.15	

Description of Project:

6.34 miles of hot mix asphalt cold milling and resurfacing, culvert improvements, curb and gutter improvements, guardrail upgrades, and intersection and curve realignment and improvements on M-136 from east of Kingsley Road to Keewahdin Road, St. Clair County. This project includes a 5 year materials and workmanship pavement warranty.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	December 19, 2006	
Contract Date:	January 25, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$4,818,067.17	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>146,900.00</u>	<u>+ 3.05%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$4,964,967.17</u>	+ 3.05%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 0.00% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$4,818,067.17.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 3.05% or \$146,900 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board: None

Contract Modification Number(s): 3 r. 3

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 3

Embankment, CIP

13,000.000 Cyd @ \$11.30/Cyd

\$146,900.00**Total****\$146,900.00****Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):**

The plans did not include the pay item embankment; however, the engineer determined that the item would be needed at several locations to complete the project as per the typical cross sections. The engineer directed the contractor to place the embankment. The extra cost for Embankment, CIP was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with similar items and quantities on other contracts in the Metro Region, as well as compared to similar original bid items.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra is recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board at its October 2, 2007 meeting.

Criticality: This extra is critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: This extra item is essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding this item, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: State Restricted Trunkline, 100%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48049.

68. **Extra 2007 - 145**

Control Section/Job Number: 82051-48539 MDOT Project

State Administrative Board - This project is under \$800,000 and the extra exceeds the \$48,000 Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - This project exceeds the 10% Commission limit for reviewing extras.

Contractor: E. C. Korneffel Co.
2691 Veterans Parkway
Trenton, MI 48183

Designed By: Parsons Brinckerhoff Michigan, Inc.
Engineer's Estimate: \$871,660.33

Description of Project:

Superstructure replacement and approach work on US-24, over Silver Creek in the City of Flat Rock, Monroe County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	December 20, 2005	
Contract Date:	January 19, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$774,880.74	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	14,411.03	+ 1.86%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	90,626.13	+ 11.70%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>44,911.86</u>	<u>+ 5.80%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$924,829.76</u>	+ 19.36%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 13.56% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$879,917.90.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 19.36% or \$149,949.02 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board:

Item Number	Contract Modification Number	Amount	SAB Date
2006-138	3 r. 1	\$40,940.00	10/03/06
2006-158	4 r. 1	\$5,730.00	11/07/06
2007-33	6	\$1,050.00	03/06/07
2007-55	7 r. 1	\$2,231.13	04/03/07
2007-97	12 r. 3	\$675.00	08/07/07

Contract Modification Number(s): 13 r. 2

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 13

Hydrant Relocation	1.000 LS @ \$10,878.41/LS	\$10,878.41
Watermain Diversion Conflict	1.000 LS @ \$5,490.65/LS	5,490.65
NE Quadrant Grading	1.000 LS @ \$561.23/LS	561.23
Diversion Pumping	1.000 LS @ \$10,991.49/LS	10,991.49
Backfilling Material	1.000 LS @ \$9,570.48/LS	9,570.48
Joint Waterproofing	1,620.000 Sft @ \$4.58/Sft	7,419.60
Total		<u>\$44,911.86</u>

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

The engineer directed the contractor to temporarily lower a fire hydrant and two gate boxes that interfered with the proposed work and temporary roadway. The extra cost for Hydrant Relocation is based on force account records per Section 109.07 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction.

The plans indicated that the water main would be relocated under the new box culvert so the two would not conflict with each other when complete. The plans did not address how to install the temporary diversion and relocated water main so the work could be completed. The engineer, designer and contractor discussed several ideas on how to complete the work. It was determined that the best approach would be to block the creek, pump the water around and install the water main deep enough so it would not interfere with the new box culvert or the temporary diversion culvert. This required pumping the water around the culvert so the work could be completed. The extra cost for Watermain Diversion Conflict is based on force account records per Section 109.07 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction.

The plans did not address an elevation difference that existed on the east shoulder of northbound US-24 next to the temporary driving lane. The engineer directed the contractor to perform minor grading in the area to make the elevation difference safer to the motoring public. The extra cost for NE Quadrant Grading is based on force account records per Section 109.07 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction.

In order to place the substructure concrete under dry conditions, it was necessary to perform diversion pumping. The plans did not indicate that a cofferdam would be used or how to proceed with the placement of the substructure concrete. The engineer directed the contractor to perform diversion pumping so the substructure concrete could be placed under dry conditions. The extra cost for Diversion Pumping is based on force account records per Section 109.07 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction.

The proposal included a special provision for granular material. This special provision states the contractor may use the granular material bottom ash for fill and subbase. The engineer determined that this material may be a detriment if placed against the substructure concrete, sewer pipe, and water main lining. Therefore, the engineer directed the contractor to not use the bottom ash material and use standard granular material. The extra cost for Backfilling Material was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with the cost to supply the original material with the invoiced cost of the standard granular material.

The engineer directed the contractor to wrap the new box culvert with waterproof material at each joint as an added measure to prevent leaking at the joints. The extra cost for Joint Waterproofing was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with similar items in MDOT's Average Unit Price Index.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra was recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007, meeting, and is now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 80%; State Restricted Trunkline, 20%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48134.

69. **Extra 2007 - 146**

Control Section/Job Number: 82061-60447 MDOT Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - This project exceeds the 10% Commission limit for reviewing extras.

Contractor: Dan's Excavating, Inc.
12955 23 Mile Road
Shelby Twp., MI 48315

Designed By: Tetra Tech of Michigan, PC
Engineer's Estimate: \$7,323,849.33

Description of Project:

1.41 miles of hot mix asphalt reconstruction, drainage improvements, sidewalk, sanitary work, and water main work on US-12 (Michigan Avenue) from Heywood Street to Howe Road and intersection improvements from 4th Street to Howe Road in the City of Wayne, Wayne County. This project includes one 5 year materials and workmanship pavement warranty.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	August 1, 2006	
Contract Date:	August 29, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$6,845,786.46	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	(705,161.80)	- 10.30%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	702,881.30	+ 10.27%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>96,207.09</u>	<u>+ 1.41%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$6,939,713.05</u>	+ 1.38%

Offset Information

Total Offsets This Request	(\$6,794.00)	- 0.10%
Net Revised Request	89,413.09	+ 1.31%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 0.03% under the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$6,843,505.96.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 1.33% or \$90,636.59 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board:

Item Number	Contract Modification Number	Amount	SAB Date
2007-56	2 r. 1	\$697,036.30	04/03/07

Contract Modification Number(s): 3 r. 1, 5 r. 1

These contract modifications request payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 3

San Structure, 60 inch dia	2.000 Ea @ \$8,975.00/Ea	\$17,950.00
8 inch, Water Main Connection	5.000 Ea @ \$3,198.26/Ea	15,991.30
6 inch, Water Main Connection	1.000 Ea @ \$3,042.84/Ea	3,042.84
4 inch, Water Main Connection	1.000 Ea @ \$2,958.75/Ea	2,958.75
12 inch Water Main Connection	1.000 Ea @ \$3,654.30/Ea	3,654.30
Gate Valve and Box, 4 inch	1.000 Ea @ \$790.72/Ea	790.72
Gate Valve and Box, 6 inch	2.000 Ea @ \$816.82/Ea	1,633.64
Total		<u>\$46,021.55</u>

CM 3 Offset Information

San Structure, Add Depth of 48 inch dia, 8 feet to 15 feet	-14.000 Ft @ \$42.40/Ft	(\$593.60)
San Structure, Add Depth of 48 inch dia, More Than 15 feet	-6.000 Ft @ \$42.40/Ft	(254.40)
San Structure, 48 inch dia	-2.000 Ea @ \$2,973.00/Ea	(5,946.00)
Total		<u>(\$6,794.00)</u>

Net Revised CM 3 Request

\$39,227.55

CM 5

Remove and replace signal and pedestrian conduit	1.000LS@ \$50,185.54/LS	\$50,185.54
Total		<u>\$50,185.54</u>

Grand Total

\$96,207.09

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

CM 3

Two of the proposed sanitary sewer drainage structures on the project were shown to be four foot in diameter. These structures also noted that a 24 inch sewer line would be tapped into the structures using a special seal. The seal that was indicated can not be installed in a four foot diameter structure, but will fit into a five foot diameter structure. This extra work is 100 percent funded by the City of Wayne. The extra cost for San Structure, 60 inch dia was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with the time, equipment and materials needed to complete the work. This item is offset by a \$6,794 decrease in original items noted above.

The city requested to change the water main tie-ins from a tapping sleeve to a more gradual connection. This would cut down on future maintenance of the system and for some of the connections would also be less expensive. Some of these items do have offsets in the price that will be included when the project is complete and the balancing contract modification is prepared. The extra cost for the 8 inch Water Main Connection; 6 inch, Water Main Connection; 4 inch Water Main Connection and 12 inch Water Main Connection was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with the time, equipment and materials needed to complete the work.

The plans did not indicate that gate valves with boxes would be required for the four and six inch water lines. Some of the valves were set up to be placed in a gate well; the city requested that they be placed in a box, the engineer directed the contractor to use the box. The offset for these items will be included when the project is complete and the balancing contract modification is prepared. The extra cost for Gate Valve and Box, 4 inch and Gate Valve and Box, 6 inch was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with the time, equipment, and materials needed to complete the work.

CM 5

At the three signalized intersections on the project (Second Street, Wayne Road and Elizabeth Street), the existing conduit was extremely old and needed to be replaced. To prevent disruption to the newly constructed road and surrounding decorative sidewalks, the existing underground signal and pedestrian feeds and conduit were removed. Temporary overhead supplies were installed to keep the signal operational until the conduit and new supplies could be placed. The extra cost for Remove and replace signal and pedestrian conduit was negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with the time, equipment and materials needed to complete the work.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

These Extras were recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007, meeting, and are now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 69.99%; State Restricted Trunkline, 14.75%; City of Wayne, 15.26%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48184.

70. **Extra 2007 - 147**

Control Section/Job Number: 82062-59881 MDOT Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras and also has at least one extra that exceeds the \$100,000 Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - This project exceeds the 10% Commission limit for reviewing extras.

Contractor: Dan's Excavating, Inc.
12955 23 Mile Road
Shelby Twp., MI 48315

Designed By: Orchard, Hiltz & McCliment, Inc.
Engineer's Estimate: \$17,801,817.81

Description of Project:

3.3 kilometers of road reconstruction (7 lanes), water main replacement, storm sewer replacement, street lighting, duct replacement, and traffic signal replacement on US-12 from I-94 to Livernois Avenue in the cities of Detroit and Dearborn, Wayne County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	July 06, 2004	
Contract Date:	August 04, 2004	
Original Contract Amount:	\$17,184,777.59	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	720,766.32	+ 4.19%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	3,782,492.02	+ 22.01%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	(3,918.50)	- 0.02%
THIS REQUEST	<u>125,796.55</u>	<u>+ 0.73%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$21,809,913.98</u>	+ 26.91%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 26.18% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$21,684,117.43.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 26.91% or \$4,625,136.39 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board:

Item Number	Contract Modification Number	Amount	SAB Date
2005-111	14 r. 1	\$416,797.42	10/04/05
2005-122	22 r. 1	\$370,000.00	11/01/05
2005-143	24, 25 r. 1	\$1,816,436.12	12/06/05
2006-007	28	\$327,467.00	02/07/06
2006-042	26	\$9,897.70	04/04/06
2006-053	31, 33	\$162,586.00	05/02/06
2006-070	34, 35	\$170,690.01	06/06/06
2006-113	36	\$17,639.45	09/05/06
2006-161	37	\$19,660.65	11/07/06
2007-16	42, 43	\$118,487.95	02/06/07
2007-122	50	\$260,000.00	09/04/07

Contract Modification Number(s): 46

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 46

Excavated Material Stockpile Construction	1.000 LS @ \$110,437.73/LS	\$110,437.73
Restoration of Stockpiles	1.000 LS @ \$15,358.82/LS	15,358.82
Total		<u>\$125,796.55</u>

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

CM 46

A soil sample confirmed the material that was to be excavated from the site contained Arsenic; however it was below the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality cleanup criteria and is classified as non-hazardous. MDOT further determined that the amounts detected were not sufficient to require disposal per the Special Provision for Non-Hazardous Contaminated Material Handling and Disposal. The engineer directed the contractor to dispose of the soil on site and restore the stockpile once completed. The extra cost for Excavated Material Stockpile Construction and Restoration of Stockpiles, is based on force account records per Section 109.07 of the 1996 Standard Specifications for Construction.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 1996 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra was recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007, meeting, and is now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 71.31%; City of Dearborn, 1.10%; Detroit Edison, 0.13%; City of Detroit 13.23%; SBC Communications, 0.10 %, State Restricted Trunkline, 14.13%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.
Selection: Low bid.
New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.
Zip Code: 48226.

71. **Extra 2007 - 148**

Control Section/Job Number: 82457-52174 Local Agency Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras and has at least one extra that exceeds the \$100,000 Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.

State Transportation Commission - This project has at least one extra that exceeds the \$250,000 Transportation Commission limit for reviewing extras.

Contractor: Walter Toebe Construction Co.
P. O. Box 930129
Wixom, MI 48393

Designed By: Spalding DeDecker Associates, Inc./
Ayres, Lewis, Norris & May, Inc.

Engineer's Estimate: \$10,335,376.80

Description of Project:

0.74 kilometers of railroad grade separation and concrete pavement reconstruction, drainage improvements, watermain relocations, pump house construction, 2 span steel I beam bridge, and pavement markings on Sheldon Road from 120 meters south of Nantucket to 100 meters north of M-14 overpass in the City of Plymouth, Wayne County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	November 8, 2006	
Contract Date:	November 20, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$10,170,387.92	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	129.40	+ 0.00%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	432,963.00	+ 4.26%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>350,000.00</u>	<u>+ 3.44%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$10,953,480.32</u>	+ 7.70%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 4.26% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$10,603,480.32.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 7.70% or \$783,092.40 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board:

Item Number	Contract Modification Number	Amount	SAB Date
2007-85	7	\$350,000.00	06/05/07

Contract Modification Number(s): 8

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 8

Cast-in-Place Concrete, Force Account

\$350,000.00

Total

\$350,000.00

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

The contract contains a special provision for the construction of a pump house. Within the special provision it indicates cast-in-place concrete for the pump house. This item was inadvertently left off the list of items for the contractor to bid on. This item was originally set up on contract modification 7 and now represents the budget amount to date. The contractor was directed to provide all the Labor, Equipment and Materials to do the work specified in the above referenced special provision. This item is being set up as a budget amount, the extra cost for Cast-in-Place Concrete, Force Account is based on force account records per Section 109.07 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra was recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007, meeting, and is now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: This extra is critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: This extra item is essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding this item, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 69.95%; Wayne County, 12.56%, State Restricted Trunkline, 17.49%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48170.

72. **Extra 2007 - 150**

Control Section/Job Number:	63081-51492	MDOT Project
State Administrative Board -	This project has at least one extra that exceeds the \$100,000 Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.	
State Transportation Commission -	Does not meet criteria.	
Contractor:	Dan's Excavating, Inc. 12955 23 Mile Road Shelby Twp., MI 48315	
Designed By:	HNTB Michigan, Inc.	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$54,369,193.18	

Description of Project:

5.00 miles of freeway reconstruction, ramp reconstruction, service drive reconstruction, 12 bridge rehabilitations, retaining wall rehabilitation, sign replacements, freeway lighting replacement, and landscaping on M-10, Greenfield Road to Lahser Road, in the cities of Southfield and Detroit, Oakland and Wayne Counties. This project includes a 5-year materials, workmanship pavement warranty, and a 2-year bridge painting warranty.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	December 12, 2006	
Contract Date:	January 12, 2007	
Original Contract Amount:	\$52,562,237.73	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	(\$79,654.76)	- 0.15%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	872,362.01	+ 1.66%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>403,888.75</u>	<u>+0.77%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$53,758,833.73</u>	+ 2.28%

Offset Information

Total Offsets This Request	(\$315,830.00)	- 0.60%
Net Revised Request	\$88,058.75	+ 0.17%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 1.51% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$53,354,944.98.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 2.28% or \$1,196,596 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board:

Item Number	Contract Modification Number	Amount	SAB Date
2007-99	5, 7 r. 1	\$609,881.30	08/07/07
2007-133	18	\$170,000.00	09/18/07

Contract Modification Number(s): 15

This contract modification requests payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 15

Filler Wall Work Adj. to Contract		\$225,000.00
Filler Wall Demolition		52,250.00
Filler Wall Resteel, Epoxy Coated	13,805.000 Lb @ \$1.75/Lb	24,158.75
Adh. Anch. Horz. Bars, 1/2" Filler Walls	948.000 Ea @ \$60.00/Ea	56,880.00
Adh. Anch. Vert Bars, 1/2" Filler Walls	584.000 Ea @ \$60.00/Ea	35,040.00
Adh. Anch. Horz Bars, 3/4" Filler Walls	176.000 Ea @ \$60.00/Ea	10,560.00
Total		<u>\$403,888.75</u>

CM 15 Offset Information

Backfill, Structure, CIP	-448.000 Cyd @ \$19.00/Cyd	(\$8,512.00)
Conc, Grade S2, Subfooting	-7.000 Cyd @ \$250.00/Cyd	(\$1,750.00)
Excavation, Fdn	-464.000 Cyd @ \$12.00/Cyd	(\$5,568.00)
Structures, Rehabilitation, Rem Portions (S13 of 63081)		<u>(\$300,000.00)</u>
Total		<u>(\$315,830.00)</u>

Net Revised CM 15 Request **\$88,058.75**

Total **\$403,888.75**

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

Within the project limits, 23 existing bridges cross over the M-10 roadway and ramps. The plans required filler wall work at two of the bridges and it was discovered that 16 additional bridges needed filler wall work, requiring various types of fixes. The conditions were discovered after pavement removal operations and as the new pavement grades were being established.

To correct the filler walls and to meet current MDOT standards the engineer directed the contractor to complete the work. This required several different items to complete the work; including demolition of the existing wall, using adhesive anchors to tie the filler wall into the existing concrete, epoxy coated reinforcement for the filler walls, concrete for the filler walls and a filler wall adjustment item, as well as some original pay items.

The demolition item will be used to remove the existing filler wall at ten locations. The epoxy coated reinforcement will be used to strengthen the filler walls and the adhesive anchors will be used to tie the epoxy coated reinforcement to the existing concrete. The adjustment item will be used to compensate the contractor to complete the work on an expedited basis due to the tight deadlines of the project and is based on a significant change in the character of the work. This will include the additional overtime, delivery charges, equipment and personnel required to keep the project on schedule and this work ahead of the other critical project items.

The extra costs for Filler Wall Work Adj. to Contract; Filler Wall Demolition; Filler Wall Resteel, Epoxy Coated; Adh. Anch. Horz. Bars, ½” Filler Walls; Adh. Anch. Vert Bars, ½” Filler Walls and Adh. Anch. Horz Bars, ¾” Filler Walls were negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. The costs were deemed reasonable when compared with time, equipment and materials needed to complete the work. This work is partially offset by a reduction in original bid items noted above totaling \$315,830.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

This Extra is recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: FHWA, 80%; State Restricted Trunkline, 18.71%; City of Southfield, 1.07%; City of Detroit, 0.22%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48075.

73. **Extra 2007 - 151**

Control Section/Job Number:	82102-45711	MDOT Project
State Administrative Board -	This project exceeds the 6% Ad Board limit for reviewing extras. This project also has at least one extra that exceeds the \$100,000 Ad Board limit for reviewing extras.	
State Transportation Commission -	This project has at least one extra that exceeds the \$250,000 Transportation Commission limit for reviewing extras.	
Contractor:	John Carlo, Inc. 45000 River Ridge Drive, Suite 200 Clinton Twp., MI 48038	
Designed By:	Bergmann Associates, Inc.	
Engineer's Estimate:	\$34,459,282.80	
Description of Project:		

3.85 miles of freeway and ramp reconstruction and 10 structure rehabilitations on M-14 from the Wayne/Washtenaw County line easterly to Sheldon Road, Wayne County. This project includes a 5 year materials and workmanship pavement warranty and a 2 year bridge painting warranty.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	March 7, 2006	
Contract Date:	March 9, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$26,918,210.62	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	185,596.74	+ 0.69%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	95,913.10	+ 0.36%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	(9,790.50)	- 0.04%
THIS REQUEST	<u>1,829,960.88</u>	<u>+ 6.80%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$29,019,890.84</u>	+ 7.81%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 1.01% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$27,189,929.96.

Approval of this extra will place the authorized status of the contract 7.81% or \$2,101,680.22 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Extras Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board: None

Contract Modification Number(s): 17 r. 3, 35 r. 1

These contract modifications request payment for the following Extra(s)/Adjustment(s) to the contract:

CM 17

Hand Patching, Extra Work	170.400 Ton @ \$89.00/Ton	\$15,165.60
Traffic Control, LSUM	1.000 LS @ \$76,305.00/LS	76,305.00
Pavt Mrkg, Type NR, Paint, 4" White, Temp HMA Extra	40,000.000 Ft @ \$0.41/Ft	16,400.00
Pavt Mrkg, Type NR, Paint, 4" Yellow, Temp HMA Extra	25,000.000 Ft @ \$0.41/Ft	10,250.00
Cold Milling Conc Pavt	6,515.010 Syd @ \$13.91/Syd	90,623.79
HMA, 4C Extra Work	6,000.000 Ton @ \$74.25/Ton	445,500.00
HMA, 5E30	12,000.000 Ton @ \$75.68/Ton	908,160.00
Pavt Joint and Crack Repr, Det 7	7,210.500 Ft @ \$13.38/Ft	96,476.49
Total		<u>\$1,658,880.88</u>

CM 35

Dr Structure, Adj. Add Depth	35.000 Ft @ \$330.00/Ft	\$11,550.00
Dr Structure Cover, Adj. Case 1 (Spec)	175.000 Ea @ \$800.00/Ea	140,000.00
Dr. Structure Cover, Extra	18,600.000 Lb @ \$1.05/Lb	19,530.00
Total		<u>\$171,080.00</u>

Grand Total

\$1,829,960.88

Reason(s) for Extra(s)/Adjustment(s):

CM 17 & CM 35

A section of the project was not set up to have work completed on the road surface. Once the project was let and work started, a further review of this area determined that major spalling was occurring and the concrete was rapidly deteriorating. The project office discussed many repair options for this area with the Region office and a hot mix asphalt (HMA) overlay was determined to be the best alternative. All of the items on contract modifications 17 and 35 pertain to this overlay and are discussed in more detail below.

Prior to completing the overlay, the spalled and cracked areas needed to be removed and HMA placed back in them. This was completed by performing pavement joint and crack repairs on the concrete and filling them with hand patching material. Also in this area of the project the drainage structure covers needed to be adjusted to the new elevation of the road. This required the three items of work on contract modification 35.

Some of the concrete area also had to be milled off prior to the overlay so the new surface would match the height of the existing surface; therefore, the cold milling concrete item was set up. The shoulders of the road were paved using a HMA 4C mixture, while the main road surface was covered using a HMA 5E30 material. Other items needed to complete the work were the additional traffic control which included lights for night paving and the temporary pavement markings for the area. The extra cost for the Hand Patching, Extra Work; Traffic Control, LSUM; Pavt Mrkg, Type NR, Paint, 4" White, Temp, HMA Extra; Pavt Mrkg, type NR, Paint, 4" Yellow, Temp, HMA Extra; Cold Milling Conc Pavt; HMA 4C Extra Work; HMA, 5E30; Pavt Joint and Crack Repr, Det 7; Dr Structure, Adj, Add Depth; Dr Structure Cover, Adj, Case 1 (Spec) and Dr. Structure Cover, Extra were negotiated per Section 103.04 of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction. These costs were deemed reasonable when compared to similar items and quantities in MDOT's Average Unit Price Index.

Section 103.04 – EXTRA WORK – of the 2003 Standard Specifications for Construction was interpreted to authorize payment for this extra work.

These Extras were recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007, meeting, and are now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These extras are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: These extra items were essential to the safe and timely completion of this contract.

Benefit: By adding these items, the state receives a project that has complied with all state and local laws and regulations, as well as a project that should reach its intended service life.

Funding Source: State Restricted Trunkline, 100%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: These items were required for the safe and timely completion of the project.

Cost Reduction: Economic assessment justifies the costs associated with this benefit to the public by including the items in this Extra.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Code: 48170.

OVERRUNS

74. **Overrun 2007 - 30**

Control Section/Job Number: 44448-83071 Local Agency Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 10% Ad Board limit for reviewing overruns.

State Transportation Commission - This project exceeds the 15% Commission limit for reviewing overruns.

Contractor: Champagne and Marx Excavating, Inc.
1445 Liberty Road
Saginaw, MI 48604

Designed By: Davis Land Surveying & Engineering PC
Engineer's Estimate: \$492,652.75

Description of Project:

0.57 miles of crushing and shaping, widening lanes, adding turn lanes, ditching and hot mix asphalt paving on Daley Road from M-24 to Farnsworth, Lapeer County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	September 6, 2005	
Contract Date:	October 19, 2005	
Original Contract Amount:	\$591,507.35	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	59,150.74	+ 10.00%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	93,333.21	+ 15.78%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>60,236.04</u>	+ <u>10.18%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$804,227.34</u>	+ 35.96%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 25.78% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$743,991.30.

Approval of this overrun will place the authorized status of the contract 35.96% or \$212,719.99 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Overruns Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board: None

This request allows payment for the following increases to the contract:

Aggregate Base, 6 inch	7,188.000 Syd @ \$4.58/Syd	\$32,921.04
HMA, 13A, 2 inch	4,500.000 Syd @ \$6.07/Syd	<u>\$27,315.00</u>
Total		<u>\$60,236.04</u>

Reason(s) for Overrun(s):

Due to soft grade in several areas, some of the areas needed to be undercut and/or have the water drained from the subgrade. In some of the areas the soft soils were not discovered until the initial lift of asphalt was placed. Once the soft soils were discovered, the asphalt had to be removed and the area undercut. The engineer directed the contractor to remove the asphalt and undercut the areas; this caused the increase in two items the Aggregate Base, 6 inch and HMA, 13A, 2 inch causing an overrun in the original items.

The work items are original contract pay items. The overrun cost is computed by calculating the contract bid prices with the necessary quantities.

This Overrun was recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007 meeting, and is now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These original items increases are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: This request is to compensate the contractor for the additional quantities of original contract items.

Benefit: The public benefits from the project being constructed to the published standards.

Funding Source: FHWA, 81.85%; Lapeer County, 18.15%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: The risk associated with not doing this work is that the motoring public will be driving on substandard roadway facilities.

Cost Reduction: The price has been fixed by contract.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Codes: 48446.

75. **Overrun 2007 - 31**

Control Section/Job Number: 58416-86485 Local Agency Project

State Administrative Board - This project exceeds the 10% Ad Board limit for reviewing overruns.

State Transportation Commission - This project exceeds the 15% Commission limit for reviewing overruns.

Contractor: Ajax Paving Industries, Inc.
830 Kirts Blvd., Suite 100
Troy, MI 48084

Designed By: Local Agency
Engineer's Estimate: \$353,436.00

Description of Project:

2.75 miles of hot mix asphalt cold milling and resurfacing on North Custer Avenue from west city limits to Bentley Street in the City of Monroe, Monroe County.

Administrative Board Approval Date:	May 2, 2006	
Contract Date:	May 25, 2006	
Original Contract Amount:	\$315,927.71	
Total of Overruns/Changes (Approved to Date):	31,592.77	+ 10.00%
Total of Extras/Adjustments (Approved to Date):	10,975.00	+ 3.47%
Total of Negative Adjustments (Approved to Date):	0.00	+ 0.00%
THIS REQUEST	<u>29,230.00</u>	+ <u>9.25%</u>
Revised Total	<u>\$387,725.48</u>	+22.72%

SUMMARY:

The total of all Extras and Overruns approved to date, **before this request**, places this contract 13.47% over the original budget for an **Authorized to Date Amount** of \$358,495.48.

Approval of this overrun will place the authorized status of the contract 22.72% or \$71,797.77 over the **Original Contract Amount**.

Overruns Previously Approved by the State Administrative Board: None

This request allows payment for the following increases to the contract:

Conc Pavt, Misc, Nonreinf Conc, 6 inch	410.000 Syd @ \$35.00/Syd	\$14,350.00
Curb and Gutter, Conc, Det C4	410.000 Ft @ \$18.00/Ft	7,380.00
Sidewalk Ramp, ADA, Modified	1,500.000 Sft @ \$5.00/Sft	<u>7,500.00</u>
Total		<u>\$29,230.00</u>

Reason(s) for Overrun(s):

The project was designed to have the surface asphalt milled off, the concrete base pavement repaired, and then repave over the concrete. The condition of the concrete pavement under the asphalt at the time of construction warranted additional repairs to provide a useful life of the project. This caused an overrun in the original bid items Conc Pavt, Misc, Nonreinf Conc, 6 inch.

The project was designed to have the sidewalk ramps tie into the asphalt path. To meet the slopes indicated on the standard details and to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the lengths of the ramps had to be extended. This caused an overrun in the original bid item Sidewalk Ramp, ADA, Modified.

The project was designed to have the deteriorated curb replaced. The condition of the curb at the time of construction warranted additional curb replacement to provide a useful life of the project. This caused an overrun in the original bid items Curb and Gutter, Conc, Det C4.

Each work item is an original contract pay item. The overrun cost is computed by calculating the contract bid price with the necessary quantity.

This Overrun was recommended for approval by the State Transportation Commission at its September 27, 2007 meeting, and is now recommended for approval by the State Administrative Board on October 2, 2007.

Criticality: These original items increases are critical to the project ensuring that it meets the current standards and protects the safety and welfare of the motoring public.

Purpose/Business Case: This request is to compensate the contractor for the additional quantities of original contract items.

Benefit: The public benefits from the project being constructed to the published standards.

Funding Source: FHWA, 81.85%; City of Monroe, 18.15%.

Commitment Level: The project was advertised for bids, with the lowest bidder being awarded the contract. The bids are based on estimated quantities for various items of work to construct the project.

Risk Assessment: The risk associated with not doing this work is that the motoring public will be driving on substandard roadway facilities.

Cost Reduction: The price has been fixed by contract.

Selection: Low bid.

New Project Identification: This is an existing project already under contract.

Zip Codes: 48166.

In accordance with MDOT's policies and procedures and subject to concurrence by the Federal Highway Administration, the preparation and award of the appropriate documents approved by the Attorney General, and compliance with all legal and fiscal requirements, the Director recommends for approval by the State Administrative Board the items on this agenda.

The approval by the State Administrative Board of these contracts does not constitute the award of same. The award of contracts shall be made at the discretion of the Director-Department of Transportation when the aforementioned requirements have been met. Subject to exercise of that discretion, I approve the contracts described in this agenda and authorize their award by the responsible management staff of MDOT to the extent authorized by, and in accordance with, the December 14, 1983, resolution of the State Transportation Commission and the Director's delegation memorandum of October 31, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Kirk T. Steudle
Director

APPROVED

October 2, 2007

Michigan State
Administrative Board

COMMITTEE REPORT TO THE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

The Honorable Jennifer M. Granholm, Governor
and
Members of the State Administrative Board

A special meeting of the Transportation and Natural Resources
Committee was held at 10:55 a.m. on October 2, 2007. Those present
being:

Chairperson:	<u>Joseph Pavona, representing</u> Secretary of State Land	Approved _____
Member:	<u>Walt Herzig, representing</u> Lt. Governor Cherry	Approved _____
Member:	<u>Patrick F. Isom, representing</u> Attorney General Cox	Approved _____
Others:	Diana Quintero, Department of Information Technology; Sherry Bond, Elise Lancaster, Janet Rouse, Department of Management and Budget; Mike Blackledge, Amy Meldrum, Wayne Roe, Jr., Department of Transportation; Mary G. MacDowell, Department of Treasury; Kelly Keenan, Governor's Office	

There was no Department of Natural Resources agenda presented.

There was no Department of Environmental Quality agenda presented.

The Department of Transportation special agenda was presented.

Following discussion, Mr. Isom moved that the Transportation special
agenda be recommended to the State Administrative Board for approval.
Supported by Mr. Herzig, the motion was unanimously adopted.

Mr. Pavona adjourned the meeting.

SPECIAL AGENDA

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

TRANSPORTATION and NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE STATE ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

Special T&NR Meeting: October 2, 2007 – Lake Superior Room,
1st Floor, Michigan Library and Historical Center, 10:55 AM
State Administrative Board Meeting: October 2, 2007 - Lake Superior Room,
1st Floor, Michigan Library and Historical Center, 11:00 AM

This agenda is for general informational purposes only. At its discretion, the Transportation and Natural Resources Committee may revise this agenda and may take up other issues at the meeting. Approval of the item on this agenda authorizing expenditures in the fiscal year beginning on October 1, 2007, and ending on September 30, 2008, is contingent upon the enactment of appropriations for the fiscal year beginning on October 1, 2007 and ending on September 30, 2008.

BID LETTING

LOCAL PROJECT

1. LETTING OF SEPTEMBER 28, 2007
PROPOSAL 0709665
PROJECT TIP 33010-90268, ETC
LOCAL AGRMT. 07-5568
START DATE - 5 days after award
COMPLETION DATE - MAY 23, 2008
- | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| ENG. EST. | LOW BID |
| \$ 3,071,091.95 | \$ 2,673,928.80 |
| % OVER/UNDER EST. | |
| | -12.93 % |

1.20 mi of hot mix asphalt construction, sanitary and storm sewer work, watermain work, detention ponds, and shoo-fly grading on Farm Lane from north of Mt. Hope Road to south of Trowbridge Road on the campus of Michigan State University in the city of East Lansing, Ingham County.

5.00 % DBE participation required

BIDDER	AS-SUBMITTED	AS-CHECKED	
C & D Hughes, Inc.	\$ 2,673,928.80	Same	1 **
DeAngelis Landscape, Inc.	\$ 2,871,750.00	Same	2
E.T. MacKenzie Company	\$ 2,965,578.13	Same	3
Kamminga & Roodvoets, Inc.	\$ 2,990,346.64	Same	4
Six-S, Inc.	\$ 2,999,610.21	Same	5
Davis Construction, Inc.	\$ 3,059,459.65	Same	6
Angelo Iafrate Construction Company	\$ 3,366,999.35	Same	7
Hoffman Bros., Inc.	\$ 3,461,922.73	Same	8
Bailey Excavating, Inc.	\$ 3,469,429.21	Same	9
Cadwell Brothers Construction Co.	\$ 4,097,088.81	Same	10

Dunigan Brothers, Inc.
Nashville Construction Company
Aggregate Industries-Central Region
L.J. Construction, Inc.
Fisher Contracting Company
Milbocker and Sons, Inc.
DiPonio Contracting L.L.C.
D.J. McQuestion & Sons, Inc.
Rohde Brothers Excavating, Inc.
C & G Myers Construction, LLC

10 Bidders

By association with the above construction contract we are also asking for approval of the above-referenced cost participation agreement.

Purpose/Business Case: This project is for CSX Railroad and CN Railroad shoo fly grading, detention ponds, watermain relocation, sanitary and storm sewer extension, and maintenance of traffic on a portion of highway on the federal-aid highway system, under local jurisdiction. It was selected through a process outlined in the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Act: A Legacy for Users, by the applicable Metropolitan Planning Organization (urban areas) or Rural Task Force (rural areas), and was approved by MDOT and the Federal Highway Administration.

This project is also for the west auxiliary drive construction and auxiliary drive construction associated with the Farm Lane Grade Separation Project-Phase 1. The aforementioned project occurs along a portion of highway on the federal-aid highway system, under the local jurisdiction. It was selected through a process outlined in the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Act: A Legacy for Users, by the applicable Metropolitan Planning Organization (urban areas) or Rural Task Force (rural areas), and was approved by MDOT and the Federal Highway Administration.

Benefit: By awarding this project, the federal-aid highway system is further preserved providing increased economic value and quality of life for the traveling public.

Funding Source:

90268A

Federal Highway Administration Funds	38.51 %
Michigan State University	51.86 %
State Restricted Trunkline Funds	9.63 %

90271A

Federal Highway Administration Funds	80.00 %
State Restricted Trunkline Funds	20.00 %

Commitment Level: The contract cost is not fixed. It is based on the engineer's best estimate of probable construction cost. The contract's final cost will be based on actual quantities built in the field and unit prices bid by the contractor.

Risk Assessment: If this project is not awarded, the federal funds must be returned to the federal government for use in another federal-aid project.

Cost Reduction: This is a construction contract. The low bidder is awarded the project. Any negotiation prior to award of this contract, whether implicit or explicit, violates federal regulations and Section 107.01 of the 2003 Michigan Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for Construction.

New Project Identification: Farm Lane Grade Separation Project-Phase 1.

Selection: Low bid.

Zip Code: 48824.

In accordance with MDOT's policies and procedures and subject to concurrence by the Federal Highway Administration, the preparation and award of the appropriate documents approved by the Attorney General, and compliance with all legal and fiscal requirements, the Director recommends for approval by the State Administrative Board the item on this agenda.

The approval by the State Administrative Board of this contract does not constitute the award of same. The award of contracts shall be made at the discretion of the Director-Department of Transportation when the aforementioned requirements have been met. Subject to exercise of that discretion, I approve the contract described in this agenda and authorize its award by the responsible management staff of MDOT to the extent authorized by, and in accordance with, the December 14, 1983, resolution of the State Transportation Commission and the Director's delegation memorandum of October 31, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Kirk T. Steudle
Director

Mr. Pavona presented the Transportation and Natural Resources Committee Reports for the regular meeting of September 26, 2007, and the special meeting of October 2, 2007. After review of the forgoing Transportation and Natural Resources Committee Reports, Mr. Pavona moved that the Reports covering the regular meeting held September 26, 2007, and the special meeting of October 2, 2007, be approved and adopted. The motion was supported by Mr. Herzig and unanimously approved.

8. MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS:

NONE

9. ADJOURNMENT:

Mr. Pavona moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion was supported by Ms. MacDowell and unanimously approved. Mr. Keenan adjourned the meeting.

SECRETARY

CHAIRPERSON